



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_44725
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation and Characterization of an isolated flavonoid from Averrhoa bilimbi
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Align the document properly.</li> <li>2. Correct the document for grammatical errors.</li> <li>3. Don't start any sentence with number eg. Line no. 53</li> <li>4. Line no:45, need not give professor name, just mention department name and college name. Actually authentication must be done by a botanist not by a pharmacologist. Kindly correct it.</li> <li>5. In results and discussion: cite relevant reference and information. Discussions are not included. Need to be included.</li> <li>6. References are not according to the journal format and are incomplete, correct it.</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Alignment carried out.</li> <li>2. Corrected the document for grammatical errors.</li> <li>3. corrected</li> <li>4. Correction done. He is a Pharmacognosist not Pharmacologist. Pharmacognosy deals with the study of medicinal plants.</li> <li>5. Hope it is made sufficiently</li> <li>6. Completed</li> </ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**PART 2:**



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	