

## **Original Research Article**

### **Free Radical Scavenging Activity of *Jatropha curcas* Leaves, Phytochemical and Antibacterial Analysis of its Butanol crude extract**

#### **ABSTRACT**

The use of different plant parts for the prevention and cure of ailments, infections and diseases as an alternative to the use of orthodox medicine is gaining more attention. Moreso, resistance to orthodox drugs has been confirmed in various literature. The free radical scavenging activities of *Jatropha curcas* (*Euphorbiaceae*) leaves, phytochemicals present in its butanol crude extract as well as the antibacterial activities of its butanol crude extract were accessed. The leaves of *Jatropha curcas* were washed, air-dried and pulverized for active extraction of the composition of the plant in butanol. Fresh leaves of the plant were tested for its DPPH (2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) scavenging activity (indicator of antioxidant), while the butanol crude leaf extract was accessed for the presence or absence of phytochemicals and was also tested against some gram positive and gram negative bacteria all according to standard procedures. All analysis was carried out at the Chemistry Laboratory of the Federal College of Animal Health and Production Technology, Moor Plantation, Ibadan for four weeks. The result of the free radical scavenging activity of the leaves (74.73 mg/kg) was higher than that of ascorbic acid (31.01 mg/kg) (a standard antioxidant). The qualitative analysis showed the presence of alkaloids, tannins, saponin, flavonoids, steroid, phenols phlobatanins and cardiac glycoside. The quantitative analysis on the other hand showed the presence of alkaloids in an appreciable amount (0.567%), followed by saponin (0.316%) while others had relatively low values (below 0.19%). The average values of the antibacterial (inhibitory) activity as compared with standard antibiotics used had no significant difference except for *Pseudomonas sp.* which was very low to the antibiotics used. It could be concluded that *Jatropha curcas* is of great medicinal potential.

Keywords; *Jatropha curcas*, Antibacterial, Scavenging activity, Phytochemicals.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Ethnomedicine refers to the study of traditional medicinal practice which is concerned with the cultural interpretation of health diseases and illness and also addresses the health care seeking process and healing practices [1].

Ethnomedicinal plants have been used since ancient time for human healthcare and still remain the most widely used medication system in developing and least developed nations [2].

The reliance of people on ethnomedicine has been for reasons of cost-effectiveness, acceptability, biomedical benefits and accessibility. However, there has been a continuous growth of demand for herbal medicine globally [3].

Synthetic drugs have become expensive and not easily accessible by the less privileged. Moreso, resistance to most synthetic drugs are a serious health concern in the world today. In addition, people have returned to traditional medicine and natural plants are now used as drugs for various ailments based on their folkloric uses. Plant derived-bioactive compounds have received considerable attention due to their therapeutic potential as antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory properties and antioxidant activities [4].

*Jatropha curcas* (*J. curcas*) is a specie of flowering plant in the spurge family – Euphorbiaceae [5].

*Jatropha curcas* leaves have been used as cure for various ailments like; skin infection, diarrhea and cancer e.t.c. [6, 7, 8]. It has also been explored for alopecia, anasorea, ascites, burns, carbuncles, convulsions, cough, dermatitis, diarrhea, eczema, fever, rashes, sores, ache, and rheumatism [9].

Therefore, this research is targeted at evaluating the free radical scavenging activities of *Jatropha curcas* leaves, phytochemicals present in its butanol crude extract as well as the antibacterial activities of its butanol crude extract in order to ascertain some of its claims in traditional healing.

## 1.1 Free radicals and DPPH scavenging activities

Free radicals are fundamental to any biochemical process and represent an essential part of aerobic life and metabolism [10]. Reactive oxygen (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS) are products of normal cellular metabolism. The most common ROS include superoxide anion Peroxy nitrite anion [11]. These reactive species play an important role in pathogenesis, cardiovascular diseases, and rheumatism. It is possible to reduce the risk of chronic diseases and prevent diseases progression by either enhancing the body's natural antioxidant defenses or by supplementing with dietary antioxidants [12]. Antioxidant offer resistance against oxidative stress by scavenging the free radicals inhibiting lipid peroxidation and by many other mechanisms and thus prevent disease progression. DPPH is a stable nitrogen centered free radical commonly used for testing radical scavenging activity of the compound or plant extracts. When stable DPPH radical accept an electron from the antioxidant compound the violet color of the DPPH reduce to yellow color or red. Diphenylpicrylhydrazyl radical which was measured colorimetrically. Substance which are able to perform this reaction can be considered as antioxidant and therefore a radical scavenger [13].

## 1.2 Antibacterial

Antibacterial also known as antibiotics is a type of antimicrobial drug used in the treatment and prevention of bacterial infection. They may kill or inhibit the growth of bacteria. They are not effective against viruses such as common cold or influenza. Antibiotics may be given as a preventive measure (prophylactic) and this is usually limited to at risk populations such as those with a weakened immune system (particularly in HIV cases to prevent pneumonia) [14].

## 2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Experimental material

Some of the experimental apparatus used for this work included; Petri dishes, 25 ml conical flasks, boiling tubes, Bunsen burner, 5 ml, 10 ml and 20 ml measuring cylinders. Experimental material included; *J. curcas* leaves, while the reagents utilized included; Butanol, Ethanol, Ethyl acetate, Chloroform used were all BDH general purpose chemicals and distilled prior to use. 2,2-diphenyl- 1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), Ascorbic acid were obtained from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, MO). HCl, Conc. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, Potassium iodide, Mayer's reagent, Dilute ammonia, Potassium ferrocyanide, Acetic acid, Olive oil, Ferric chloride solution MacConkey agar, Nutrient agar were all BDH general purpose chemicals. Some of the Instruments used in this research included; Mettler analytical balance H80 (UK), Water Bath (Gallenkamp), UV-Visible spectrophotometer (Unico1200 & Perkin Elmer Lambda 25 models) .

### 2.2 Experimental procedure

**Sample collection:** Fresh plant sample (leaves) was collected around the quarters of the Federal college of Animal Health and Production Technology, Moor Plantation, Ibadan (around September, 2016). They were then taken to the Botany unit of the Institute of Agricultural Research and Training, Moor Plantation, Ibadan for proper identification. 1kg of fresh leaves were harvested, the fresh leaves were used for the DPPH scavenging activities while the rest were air-dried to preserve some phytochemical constituent which are thermolabile and could be denatured by heat and also to prevent physiological change of the leaves.

The air-dried samples were pulverized, using an electric blender in order to reduce them to fine particles for effective extraction of the bioactive compounds.

Exactly, 100g of the dried pulverized leaves were weighed into a 1000 ml beaker and excess butanol was added until the samples were fully immersed, the extraction was carried out by decanting the butanol (supernatant) every 24 hours and were filtered through a muslin. This was replaced with fresh volumes of butanol and was repeated for 10 days.

109 The samples were concentrated using rotary evaporator until all the butanol had evaporated  
110 and the extract was obtained.

111

### 112 **2.3. DPPH free radical scavenging Activity**

113 The DPPH scavenging activity of the leaves was carried out according to the methods as described  
114 by [15, 16]

115 100g of fresh sample was weighed into a beaker, 100ml of ethanol was added and  
116 shaken vigorously for 2min, it was stirred with a magnetic stirrer for 15min, it was allowed to  
117 stand for 2hours for proper extraction, then centrifuged at 2500rpm for 10min. The  
118 supernatant was poured into another beaker and concentrated by evaporating in a water  
119 bath at 80°C. The concentrated extract was kept for further assay.

120 1mM DPPH was prepared in ethanol (394.32mg DPPH dissolved in 1litre of  
121 ethanol. It was dissolved with 10mg of the concentrated sample extract in 10ml of ethanol  
122 (1mg/ml) 1.5ml of the extract was pipette into a test tube. 1.5ml DPPH solution prepared was  
123 added into the test tube. The spectrophotometer was zeroed with ethanol as blank. Thereafter the  
124 absorbance/optical density of the control (DPPH solution) and that of the sample was read at  
125 517nm.

126 DPPH Scavanged % = 
$$\frac{\text{absorbance of control} - \text{absorbance of test sample} \times 100}{\text{absorbance of control} \times \text{sample weight}} \dots \text{(Equation 1)}$$

127 This procedure was also carried out for Vitamin C (ascorbic acid) a standard antioxidant.

128

### 129 **2.4 Qualitative phytochemical Analysis**

130 The crude extract was subjected to qualitative and quantitative phytochemical tests for tannins,  
131 alkaloids, saponins, flavonoids, steroids and cardiac glycosides. Qualitative test was carried out on  
132 the extract in the Federal College of Animal Health and Production Technology using standard  
133 procedures as described by [17, 18, 19, 20].

#### 134 **2.4.1 Test for Alkaloids:**

135 0.5g of the plant sample was dissolved in 5ml dilute HCl in a steam bath and filtered. 1ml of the  
136 above filtrate was treated with few drops of Mayer's reagent. A creamy white precipitate was  
137 observed which indicated the presence of alkaloids.

#### 138 **2.4.2 Test for Tannin**

139 Exactly 0.5g of each plant extract was stirred with about 20 ml of distilled water and  
140 then filtered. Few drops of 0.1% ferric chloride solution were added to the filtrate. A  
141 dirty green precipitate was observed, indicative of the presence of tannin.

#### 142 **2.4.3 Test for saponin**

143 2 gram of each portion was boiled with 20ml of distilled water filtered. To the filtrate  
144 about 3ml of distilled water was further added and shake vigorously for about 5min  
145 frothing which persisted on warming was observed. This was a positive test for saponin.

#### 146 **2.4.4 Test for flavonoids**

147 A portion of the powdered plant sample was heated with 10ml ethyl acetate over a steam  
148 bath for 3min. it was filtered and 4ml of the filtrate was shaken with 1ml of dilute  
149 ammonia .A yellow coloration indicating the presence of flavonoids was observed

#### 150 **2.4.5 Test for steroids**

151 About 0.2g of the plant extract, 2ml of acetic acid was added and the solution was  
152 cooled well, Conc. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was added to the solution. A violet to blue color indicates the  
153 presence of a steroidal ring.

154

### 155 **2.5 Quantitative phytochemical analysis**

#### 156 **2.5.1 Test for Alkaloids**

157 2g of the extracted sample was weighed into a 100ml beaker and 20ml of 80% absolute  
158 alcohol added to give a smooth paste. The mixture was transferred to a 250ml flask and  
159 more alcohol added to make up to 100ml and 1g magnesium oxide added. The mixture  
160 was digested in boiling water for 1.5 hours under a reflux air condenser with occasional  
161 shaking. The mixture was filtered while hot through a small bucher funnel. The residue

162 was returned to the flask and redistilled for 30minutes with 50ml hot water to replace the  
 163 alcohol lost. When all the alcohol had been removed, 3 drops of 10% HCl was added. The  
 164 whole solution was later transferred into a 250ml volumetric flask 5ml of zinc acetate  
 165 solution and 5ml of Potassium Ferro cyanide solution was added thoroughly mixed to  
 166 give homogenous solution.

167 The flask was allowed to stand for a few minutes filtered through a dry filter paper and  
 168 10ml of the filtrate was transferred into a separatory funnel and alkaloids present were  
 169 extracted vigorously by shaking with five succession portions of chloroform. The residue  
 170 obtained was dissolved in 10ml hot distilled water and transferred into a kjeldalh tube with  
 171 the addition of 0.20g sucrose and 10ml Conc. H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and 0.02g selenium for digestion to  
 172 colorless solution to determine %N by kjeldahl distillation method. % N got was converted  
 173 to % total alkaloid by multiplying with a factor 3.26 i.e

174 
$$\% \text{ total alkaloid} = \%N + 3.26 \dots\dots\dots(\text{Equation 2})$$

175

176 **2.5.2 Test for flavonoids**

177 Exactly 0.50g of the extracted sample was weighed into a 100ml beaker and 80ml of  
 178 95% ethanol added and stirred with a glass rod to prevent lumping. The mixture was  
 179 filtered through a whatman NO 1 filter paper into a 100ml volumetric flask and made up to  
 180 mark with ethanol. 1ml of the extract was pipette into 500ml volumetric flask , four drops  
 181 of conc. HCl added via a dropping pipette after which 0.5g of magnesium turning was  
 182 added to develop a magenta red coloration . Standard solution were read on digital  
 183 labomed 200 spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 520nm . the percentage flavonoids was  
 184 calculated using the Formula

185 
$$\% \text{ flavonoid} = \frac{\text{absorbance of sample} \times \text{average gradient} \times \text{dilution factor}}{\text{weight of sample} \times 10000} \dots\dots(\text{Equation 3})$$

186

187

188 **2.5.3 Test for tannin**

189 Exactly 0.20g extracted sample was measured into a 500ml of beaker , 20ml of 50%  
 190 methanol was added and covered with paraffin and placed in water bath at 77-80°C for  
 191 1 hour . It was shaken thoroughly to ensure uniform mixing. The extract was quantitavely  
 192 filtered using a double layered whatman NO. 41 filter paper into a 100ml volumetric flask,  
 193 20ml water added, 2.5ml folin-denis reagent and 10ml of 17% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> were added and  
 194 mixed properly . the mixture was made up to mark with water mixed well and allow  
 195 to stand for 20mins, the bluish-green color which developed at the end of range 0-10ppm  
 196 were treated similarly as 1ml sample above .

197 The absorbance of the tannic acid standard solutions as well as samples were read after  
 198 color development on a spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 70mm. %tannin was  
 199 calculated using the formula:

200 
$$\% \text{ tannin} = \frac{\text{absorbance of sample} + \text{average gradient factor} + \text{dilution factor}}{\text{Weight sample} \times 10000} \dots\dots(\text{Equation 4})$$

201

202 **2.5.4 Test for saponin**

203 1g of sample was weighed into a 250ml beaker and 10ml of butyl alcohol was added.  
 204 The mixture was shaken on a UDY shaker for 5hrs to ensure uniform mixing. Thereafter  
 205 the mixture was filtered through a whatman NO.1 filter paper into a 100ml beaker and  
 206 20ml of 40% saturated solution of magnesium carbonate was added. The mixture  
 207 obtained with saturated MgCO<sub>3</sub> was again filtered through a whatman NO. 1 filter paper  
 208 to obtain a clear colorless solution was pipette into a 500ml volumetric flask and 2ml of  
 209 5% FeCl<sub>3</sub> solution was added and made up to mark with distilled water . It was allowed  
 210 to stand for 30 min for blood red color to development in a Jenway V6300  
 211 spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 380nm.

212 
$$\% \text{ saponin} = \frac{\text{absorbance of sample} + \text{average gradient} + \text{dilution factor}}{\text{Weight sample} \times 10000} \dots\dots\dots(\text{Equation 5})$$

213 **2.5.5. Test for steroid**

214 0.5g of sample extract was weighed into a 100ml beaker 20ml of chloroform- methanol  
 215 (2:1) mixture was added to dissolve the extract upon shaking for 30mins on a shaker .  
 216 The whole mixture was filtered through a whatman NO. 1 filter paper into another dry clean  
 217 100ml conical flask /beaker.  
 218 The resultant residue was repeatedly treated with chloroform- methanol mixture until it was  
 219 free of steroids. 1ml of the filtrate was pipette into a 300ml test tube and 5ml of  
 220 alcoholic KOH was added and shaken thoroughly to obtain a homogenous mixture. The  
 221 mixture was later placed in a water bath set at 37°C- 40°C for 90mins. It was cooled to  
 222 room temperature and 10ml of petroleum ether was added followed by the addition of  
 223 5ml of chard reagent to residue in dry bottle and absorbance taken at a wavelength of  
 224 620nm on spectronic 20D spectrophotometer. Stand steroids of concentration of 0.4mg/l  
 225 were prepared from 100mg/ml stock steroid was calculated using equation 6:  
 226 
$$\frac{\text{absorbance of sample} + \text{average gradient} + \text{dilution factor}}{\text{Weight sample} \times 10000} \dots\dots(\text{Equation 6})$$

227  
 228 **2.5.6 Test for glycosides**

229 10ml of extract was pipetted into a 250ml conical flask. 50ml chloroform was added and  
 230 shaken on a vortex mixer for 1hour,the mixture was filtered into 100ml conical flask and  
 231 pyridine, 2ml of 2% sodium nitroprusside were added and shaken thoroughly for 10 minutes. 3ml  
 232 of 20% NaOH was later added to give a brownish yellow color. The absorbance of the sample  
 233 and the standards were read on a spectronic 20D digital spectrophotometer at a wavelength of  
 234 510nm. Percentage glycoside was calculated using equation 7:  

$$\frac{\text{absorbance of sample} + \text{average gradient} + \text{dilution factor}}{\text{Weight sample} \times 10000} \dots\dots(\text{Equation 7})$$

236 **2.6 Antibacterial**  
 237 **susceptibility test**

238 Agar well diffusion method was used in the assessment of the antibacterial activity of the extract as  
 239 described by [16]. Tested bacteria were Gram positive (*Staphylococcus sp*, *Bacillus cereus* and  
 240 *Clostridium sp.*). Gram negative (*Escherichia coli*, *Proteus sp* and *Pseudomonas sp*). Media used  
 241 were Nutrient agar and MacConkey agar.  
 242

243 **2.6.1 Preparation of culture media**

244 MacConkey agar of 24.00g and 14.00g of nutrient agar were weighed into two different  
 245 conical flasks (500 ml each), covered with aluminum foil and shaken thoroughly and then left  
 246 to disperse. They were then sterilized at 121°C for 15mins in autoclave. They were then  
 247 poured into petri dishes and left to solidify. After which it was incubated for 24hrs at 37°C.  
 248

249 **2.6.2 Isolation of organism**

250 The inoculating loops were sterilized and were used to pick the samples. They were then used  
 251 to streak the surface of each labeled petri-dishes containing the prepared media. The  
 252 streaked media were then kept for the bacterial susceptibility test.  
 253

254 **2.6.3 Bacterial susceptibility test**

255 24hrs old standardized culture of bacteria was subcultured into distilled water and 1ml of  
 256 the broth was used to flood the surface of the media prepared and allowed to dry. A  
 257 sterile cork borer of 1cm was used to make holes and 1ml of the extract was dropped  
 258 into each hole of the labeled dishes. Antibiotics were also placed on the surface of the  
 259 media containing the cultured bacterial which were then incubated at 37°C for 24hrs , the  
 260 minimum zone of inhibition of the extract and antibiotics were compared and records were  
 261 taken.  
 262

263 **3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

264  
 265 TABLE 1: DPPH free radical scavenging activity of fresh *Jatropha curcas* leaves  
 266

Parameter	Fresh leaves(mg/kg)	Ascorbic acid (mg/kg)
-----------	---------------------	-----------------------



268  
269  
270  
271

Antioxidant	74.73	31.01
-------------	-------	-------

272 Table 1 reveals the DPPH scavenging activity (indicator of the antioxidant) in fresh *Jatropha*  
273 *curcas* leaves in which the value was relatively high compare to the antioxidant ability of  
274 ascorbic acid a standard antioxidant which proves that the leaves have the ability of scavenging  
275 free radicals and the oxidation process in the body. These free radicals are responsible for some  
276 diseases and therefore *Jatropha curcas* has the ability to cure disease since it has the ability to  
277 inhibit the oxidation processes in human body that are responsible for these diseases.

278 TABLE 2: Qualitative and Quantitative analysis of butanol crude extract of *Jatropha curcas* leaves.

279 Parameters	Qualitative	Quantitative (%)	Inference
280 Alkaloids	+	0.5670	present
281 Phlobatannins	+	0.0005	present
282 Tannins	+	0.0018	present
283 Saponin	+	0.3160	present
284 Flavonoids	+	0.0024	present
285 Steroid	+	0.0037	present
286 Cardiac glycosides	+	0.1880	present
287 Phenol	+	0.0760	present

288

289 The qualitative and quantitative phytochemical analysis of the butanol extract of the leaves of  
290 *J. curcas* (Table 2), showed the presence of most phytochemicals like alkaloid, tannin, saponin,  
291 flavonoid, glycoside and phenol. This corroborates the findings of [22].

292 The medicinal value of this plant lies in its phytochemical constituents since each phytochemical is  
293 said to have a definite physiological and pharmacological action on the human body. Different  
294 classes of phytochemicals have been found to possess wide range of activities which helps in  
295 prevention and protection against diseases. The presence of alkaloid in the butanol extract could  
296 make the plant active against malaria, asthma and support its use as an analgesic.

297 Phytochemicals such as flavonoids, phenol are effective as antioxidant while saponin and  
298 glycosides are effective as anti-inflammatory and antibiotics respectively [12].

299

300 TABLE 3: Average value of the antibacterial activity of butanol extract of *Jatropha curcas* leaves.

301 Isolate	Zone of inhibition (mm)	
	302 Antibiotics	Butanol extract
303 <b>Gram +ve</b>		
304 <i>Staphylococcus sp.</i>	32(gen), 29(ofl)	30
305 <i>Bacillus cereus</i>	22(gen), 20(ofl)	20
306 <i>Clostridium sp.</i>	15 (gen), 20(ofl)	15
307 <b>Gram -ve</b>		
308 <i>Escherichia coli</i>	28(gen), 25(ofl)	41
309 <i>Proteus sp.</i>	20(ch), 20(ch)	17
310 <i>Pseudomonas sp</i>	40(cpx), 35(pef,ofl)	22

311

312 **KEY**

- 313 Cpx-ciprofloxacin
- 314 Pef-pefloxacin
- 315 Ofi-ofloxacin
- 316 Ch-chloraphenicol
- 317 Gen –gentamycin

318

319 Antibacterial activity of the extract (Table 3) revealed that the minimum zones of inhibition (mm)  
320 values were not significantly different when compared with the antibiotics used which shows  
321 that the leaves could be used as antibacterial agents with the exception of *pseudomonas sp.*  
322 in which the its inhibitory zone value was relatively low to that of the antibiotics used .

323 [23] reported that presence of biologically active principle (as confirmed in table 2) may aid the  
324 antimicrobial activities of *J. curcas* as these secondary metabolite exerts antimicrobial activity  
325 through different mechanisms.  
326 This indicates that the plant extract is effective against most of the bacteria used in this study.  
327 Therefore, the plant extracts have medicinal properties which support its use in the treatment of  
328 various forms of infections.  
329

#### 330 4. CONCLUSION

331 It can therefore be concluded from these research that *Jatropha curcas* leaves has bioactive  
332 compounds (phytochemicals) as well as a high free radical scavenging activity (indicator of  
333 antioxidant activity). This is also evident in its ability to inhibit the growth of some bacteria.  
334 Therefore, *Jatropha curcas* has great medicinal potential and this could justify the use of its leaves  
335 in folklore therapy.  
336

#### 337 REFERENCES

- 338 1. Krippner S. Models of ethnomedicinal healing. Paper presented at the ethnomedicine  
339 conferences, Munich ,Germany. 2003, April 26-27 and October 11-12.
- 340 2. Abebe D. The role of ethnomedicinal plants in health care coverage of ethopia, the possible  
341 benefits of integration. *J. Ethnobiol. & Ethnomed.* 2001; 9 (32); 230-238 .
- 342 3. Srivastava R. Studying the information needs of medicinal plant stakeholders in Europe. U.K. *J.*  
343 *Appl. & Environ. Microb..* 2000; 7(2):42-45
- 344 4. Rathee P, Hema C, Sushila R Dharmender R. Mechanism of action of flavonoids as an anti-  
345 inflammatory. *Journal of Nutr.* 2009; 2(98): 212-220.
- 346 5. Janick J. Robert E. Encyclopedia of fruits and nuts, 2008. Cabi publisher, U.K.
- 347 6. Duke JA, Bogenschutz-Godwin MJ, Ducellier J. Duke PA: Handbook of medicinal herbs. 2<sup>nd</sup>  
348 edition, 2002. Boca press.Pg 32
- 349 7. Agbogidi OM Ekeke EA. *Jatropha curcas* linn; An important but neglected plant species in  
350 Nigeria. *J. of Boil. & Chem. Res.* 2011, 28-1:52:62
- 351 8. Aliyu BS. Some ethnomedicinal plants of the savannah regions of West Africa: description  
352 and phytochemicals. *J. of Boil. Sci.* 2006, 5:314-321.
- 353 9. Osemene KP, Ilori MO Elujoba AA. Examining the nature of herbal research and development  
354 in Nigeria. *Intl J of Biol Pharm & allied sci.* 2013. 1(2):133-142.
- 355 10. Tiwari A. Imbalance in antioxidant defense and human diseases, *J. of mult. approach of*  
356 *natural antioxd therpy.* 2001, 81:1179-1187.
- 357 11. Sudha G, Sangeethapriya M, Indhushee R Vadiuukkaras S. *In vitro* free radical scavenging  
358 activity of raw pepino fruit. *Int. J. of Pharm.* 2011; (7) :137-140.
- 359 12. Stanner SA, Hugher J, Kelly CN Butlriiss JA. Review of the epidemiological evidence for  
360 the antioxidant hypothesis. *J. Pub Hlth Nutr.* 2000, 7: 401-422.
- 361 13. Dehpour AA, Ebrahimzadel MA, Nabari SF, Fazelin M Eslami B. *In vitro* antioxidant and free  
362 radical scavenging activity of *Diospyros lotus* and *Pyrusboissieriana* growing in Iran. *Pharmgsy*  
363 *Mag.*, 2009, 4:123-127.
- 364 14. Aminov RI. A brief history of the antibiotic era. Lessons and challenges for the future. *J. of*  
365 *Frontiers in Microb.* 2010, 1:134.
- 366 15. Koleva II, Van-Beck TA, Linssen JP, De-Groot A. Evstaliva LN. Screening of plant for  
367 antioxidant activity. A comparative study on three testing methods. 2002. *Phytochem. Anal.* 13: 8-  
368 17.
- 369 16. Oloyede KG Farombi OE. Antioxidant properties of *Crinum ornatum* bulb extract. 2010. *World J.*  
370 *Chem.*, 5: 32-36.
- 371 17. Edeoga HO, Okwu DE Mbaebre BO. Phytochemical constituents of some Nigeria plants. *Afr J.*  
372 *of Biotech.* 2005; 447:685-688.
- 373 18. Sofowara A. Medicinal plants and traditional medicine in Africa. Spectrum books limited, Ibadan,  
374 Nigeria, 1993; pp 289

- 377 19. Trease GE Evans WC. Pharmacognosy, 14<sup>th</sup> edition, WB Saunders Company Limited. U.K,  
378 1996: pp. 1098-1115.
- 379 20. Harborne JP. Phytochemical methods, London. Chapman and hall, limited. 1973: pp.49-188.
- 380 21. Stokes EJ. Clinical Bacteriology, 1968. 3rd Edition pp179.
- 381
- 382 22. Thomas T, Sah NK Sharma PB. Therapeutic biology of *Jatropha curcas*: A mini review. *Curr*  
383 *Pharm Biotechnol*; 2008, 9(4):24-315.
- 384 23. Igbinosa OO, Igbinosa EO Aiyegoro OA. Antimicrobial activity and phytochemical screening of  
385 stem bark extracts from *J. curcas* (linn). *Afr. J. of Pharm. & Pharmacgy* 2009, 3:58-62.