



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_42832
Title of the Manuscript:	QSAR STUDY ON THIOSEMICARBAZONE DERIVATIVES AS RIBONUCLEOTIDE REDUCTASE INHIBITORS
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Reasons: 1. Few Examples: a. The very first statement of abstract shows the ignorance towards language. b. The whole abstract is inappropriate. This continues in the whole paper. 2. The author(s) has/have don't have understanding of QSAR methodology a. The second statement of abstract tells about the 21 compounds taken and then performing QSAR on them whereas only 13 comps. Exhibited activity, thus making the whole paper incorrect . It appears that ignorant about the descriptor to number of compounds ratio required for performing QSAR (The most BASIC Requirement).	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nitin S. Sapre,
Department, University & Country	Shri Govindram Seksaria Institute of Technology and Science, India