



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_42236
Title of the Manuscript:	Desulfurization of flue gases using materials based on Ca(OH) <sub>2</sub> supported on clays
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	To my mind, authors should change scale of "Covered fraction" on figures 5 and 6, so that the upper experiment data points would be on the top of each graph. E.g.: fig. 5-a, b – mace max of "Covered fraction" 0.1, not 0.5	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Timur R. Bilalov</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kazan National Research Technological University, Russia</b>