SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_38036
Title of the Manuscript:	Free Radical Scavenging Activity of Jatropha curcas Leaves, Phytochemical And Antibacterial Analysis of its Butanol Fraction
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



PART 1: Review Comments



	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed w
		part in the manuscript. It is mana
Compulsory REVISION comments Minor REVISION comments	 Dear Colleague, Kindly check the following comments that may help: The abstract part is too long and not to the point. Try to shorten it and focus on results. Why you focus on butanol fraction although you did also ethanol extract? Is it butanol fraction or extract as both were written? Please add values and results in numbers in abstract part. The conclusion of abstract part should be for the present work not for future recommendations. The introduction part is lacking information about the plant and its reported active constituents, folk uses and biological activities, also no reference for uses in lines 39 and 40. In line 50, what is meant by rheumatoid ulcerative colitis? Parts 1.1 and 1.2 belongs to which part of manuscript? I think you'd better omit them. Beakers, test tubes etc. are not usually written. The chemicals used, plant and apparatus should be with full details about the grade of chemical and its manufacturer, the plant part used, fresh or dried, exact weight and who identified it, the apparatus specifications. All are missing. Decantation is not the proper way in extract preparation. It should have been filtered through cotton or musilin. Add mis of butanol used in butanol extract preparation. For the qualitative and quantitative assays, you may add reference for each test instead of writing full details of simple experiments just to fill spaces uncessarily. For alkaloid determination, Kjeldah's method is not specific as the amount of proteins and any other nitrogenous material will be miscalculated as well. The two equations in line 158 and 159 are the same. Why rewritten? In line 225 and 226, "into two different" what? The sentence is incomplete. Please specify the microorganisms used in the standy. In table 2.4 how can you tell which type of tannin present, also for phenol? In table 2.4 how can you utell w	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Esraa Ashraf Ahmed ElHawary
Department, University & Country	Department of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

l with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that and and the standard with the standard with