



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_37147
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation and Characterisation of Stigmasterol and β -Sitosterol from Anthocleista djalonensis A. Chev
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Line 7: the aim of the study was: identification and characterisation of the active phytochemicals from the roots of the plant. But, the bio-guided fractionation is missing. Why the acetone fraction? Line 45-46: Number or code for voucher specimens are not given. Line 56: Why the acetone extract was selected for further purification? It is not explained. What about the hexane and ethyl acetate?	
Minor REVISION comments	Line 62: The phrase can be reformulated. Replace the verb discover. " Line 177 and 205: the same reference.	
Optional/General comments	Congratulation to the authors. It is a good beginning. They have to include the missing information in the paper before the publication.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lokadi Pierre Luhata
Department, University & Country	Faculty of Sciences and Technologies, University Loyola of Congo, Democratic Republic of Congo