



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_43389
Title of the Manuscript:	Minerals and Anti-nutrients in Gongronema latifolium (Utasi) Leaf
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The title should be modified thus; 'Screening for minerals and anti-minerals composition of <i>Gongronema latifolium</i> (Utasi) Leaf</p> <p>ABSTRACT</p> <p>Line 32: is it antnutrient or anti-nutrient?</p> <p>Line 32: Delete proximate analysis, nutrient and immune booster from the keywords</p> <p>Line 62: the initials of the nutrients should be in bracket</p> <p>Line 79: Correct '<i>acruginosa</i>' as <i>aeruginosa</i></p> <p>Line 85: separate the two words 'streptozotocininduced' to streptozotocin induced</p> <p>Line 176: delete one of the fullstop after this '0.88±0.02 mg/kg..' the same in line 179 after [29]. . and line 184 after [37]..</p> <p>Line 180: is it 'prebiotic' or 'probiotics'?</p> <p>Line 208: why the red color for this citation [42]</p> <p>Line 220: Grongronema latifolium should be in italics</p> <p>Author should elaborate more on the value of cadmium with regard to the plant. Whether it is safe for human consumption or not! This is very important because exposure to cadmium even in trace amount is detrimental to health</p> <p>CONCLUSION:</p> <p>The conclusion was good, but not without a caution due to the present of cadmium</p> <p>REFERENCES</p> <p>All the references are NOT in the format required by the journal. Please check the format and correct appropriately. For example considerthe reference below;</p> <p>Uhegbu, F. O., Emeka, E. I., and Kanu, I. (2011). Studies on the chemical and anti-nutritional content of some Nigerian spices. <i>International Journal of Nutrition and Metabolism</i>. 3(6): 72 – 76.</p> <p>The correct ref should be</p> <p>Uhegbu FO, Emeka EI, Kanu I. Studies on the chemical and anti-nutritional content of some Nigerian spices. Intl. J. Nut. Meta. 2001; 3(6): 72 –76.</p>	<p>The title has been modified as suggested : "Screening for minerals and anti-minerals composition of <i>Gongronema latifolium</i> (Utasi) Leaf</p> <p>Line 32 , corrections effected</p> <p>All the errors spotted out by the reviewer have been corrected.</p> <p>All the references have been corrected to the acceptable format of the journal.</p> <p>On the concentration of cadmium, the re-run gave value of 0.003 ± 0.001mg/kg, which is not of any significant safety concern.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>