SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJOCS_42832**
Title of the Manuscript:	**QSAR STUDY ON THIOSEMICARBAZONE DERIVATIVES AS RIBONUCLEOTIDE REDUCTASE INHIBITORS**
Type of the Article	**Review Paper**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	First, the article does not correspond to the Review Paper as type of manuscript. It should be Original Research. • It is not clear origin of biological data analysed by QSAR study. • Structure of molecules were not optimized. It's unknown number of compounds involved in QSAR models. • QSAR model is given at the end of manuscript, after statistical parameters. Number of parameters in given model is to high consider the number of compounds. Models is low quality. Since the R and R2 is very low model should be reject. • Table 1. Group of compounds should not be organized by colour. What about the paper will be print in black and white? • There are too many spelling and grammatical errors in submitted paper. • References were not listed and numbered in the order that they appear in the text.	noted
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		