SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJOCS_42236**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Desulfurization of flue gases using materials based on Ca(OH)2 supported on clays**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	To my mind, authors should change scale of "Covered fraction" on figures 5 and 6, so that the upper experiment data points would be on the top of each graph. E.g.: fig. 5-a, b – mace max of "Covered fraction" 0.1, not 0.5	Authors appreciate the suggestion. We use the same scale in figs. 5 and 6 for easy visual comparison. However, if the reviewer consider pertinent to change the scale, we do not have any inconvenient.
Optional/General comments		