



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_41290
Title of the Manuscript:	The static (hyper)polarizabilities of push-pull polyenes : A theoretic and computational study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>This paper presented very interesting theoretic and computational study on The static (hyper)polarizabilities of push-pull polyenes.</p> <p>The reviewer recommends the publication with the following revision.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are many irregularities in English in this paper, so it should be revised seriously. 2. Discussion part is too weak, this should be revised 3. Conclusions must be comprehensive and not written like a report. 4. It's very important if you added some references of 2016-2017. 	<p>We agree the reviewer's comments and correct the manuscript as required.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We have checked our manuscript and revised irregularities in English. 2. We have revised the discussion part. 3. We have revised the conclusion part. 4. Several updated references were added.