Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJOCS_38877**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Synthesis and characterization of ammonium ionic liquids and their antimicrobial and computational overview**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. **Schematic diagram of experimental set up used for IL synthesis to be incorporated in the manuscript.** 2. **Data presented in Results & Discussion to be given in tabular form for easy understanding.** 3. **Few recent references (2014 to 2018) to be added in the Reference Section.** 4. **Conclusion to be rewritten to clearly define the possible application area of IL and proposed future plan.**	1. **Schematic diagram of experimental is added.** 2. **Data presented in Results & Discussion is converrrted inn tabular form** 3. **Five recent references (2014 to 2017) are added in the Reference.** 4. **Conclusion is to be clear for future application of synthesized ILs.**
Minor REVISION comments	Few spelling mistakes which are highlighted in yellow color to be corrected. Whole manuscript should be thoroughly checked prior to acceptance. For example formate is used throughout the manuscript, I believe it should be format.	Two spelling mistake was in manuscript such as marck and formate. The correct spelling marck is added as merck. For example formate is used throughout the manuscript, I believe it should be format. Is to be better as formate with refernces[1-4].
Optional/General comments	Overall interesting work and can be considered for publication.	Thanks for your positive consideration that inspires me to continue my research.