



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_32705
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING & IN VIVO FERTILITY ENHANCING ACTIVITY (APHRODISIAC) OF ABUTILON INDICUM ROOTS ON MALE WISTAR RATS
Type of the Article	Experimental Original Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Comment 1. Please provide reference against provided statement. Comment-2. Author should mention the part of plant. Comment-3. What is the significance of insulin in the present study? Please clear. Comment-4. Was the authentication done only with root? Please specify the reference of authentication with its herbarium and authentication no and also geographical co-ordination should be mentioned for the collection of the materials. Comment-8. Please provides the detail of animal groups, number of test drug group and other husbandry condition along with ethical clearance permission etc. Comment-10. Please give reference where dose fixation is procedure well explained. Comment-11. Sample preparation should be mentioned. Is ethanolic extract was dissolved in ethanol for administration? If yes then author should either include a positive control group of that liquid or have to give any reference regarding the negative result of the ethanol on the same activity. Comment-15. Please if possible discuss this fact with specific reference which is suitable for specific constituent with respect to its particular medicinal potential . Comment-18. Reference is not full.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Comment-5.Please provides the reference of making extract. Comment-6.Herbarium is not properly le belled and please provide authority name with botanical name. Comment-7.Is this point given under material method part or result discussion part? Please recheck the headings and subheading. Comment-9.Please rechecks the point of headings. Comment- 12. Why mouse? Experimentally rats are taken. Comment-13. According to table 1 alkaloid showing negative result. Comment-14.Table no should be mentioned. Comment-16.Seed? Correct it. Comment-17.Alkaloid showing negative.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Jayanta Kumar Maji</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Gujarat Ayurved University, India</i>