

QUANTITATIVE DETERMINATION OF SUGARS IN THREE VARIETIES OF CASSAVA PULP

ABSTRACT

Aims: Carbohydrate stands out among the various classes of food synthesized by plants. It has the highest proportion in cassava tuber which is a staple root crop in many developing countries, especially in West Africa. This research is aimed at investigating the sugar content in cassava tuber. In this examination, the sugar content were further analyzed at the development phases of the tubers

Study Design: The root tuber of three cultivars which included TMS 98/0581, TMS 98/0505 and TMS 98/0524 from Otukpo Local Government Area, Benue State, Nigeria were investigated. The samples were collected in triplicate. Samples were collected between the month of November to March.

Methodology: The Starch and sugar contents were determined by techniques described by AOAC.

Results: The outcome from this finding uncovers that, Cassava varieties studied had appreciable quantities of sugars and starches. The result shows the following ranges of values amongst the varieties: total sugar (4.02 - 5.58) %, non-reducing sugar (2.74 - 4.24)%, reducing sugar (0.28 – 0.34) %, sucrose (2.60 – 4.03) % and starch (27.98 – 38.34) %. Variations were observed in the sugar content with sucrose and reducing sugar recording a mean value across the varieties of (0.82 – 4.15) % and (0.83 – 2.03) % respectively from November - March.

Conclusion: Reducing sugar and sucrose are important sugars in cassava, which serves as the immediate product of photosynthesis and the fundamental requisite for the synthesis of sucrose. Results from this finding, will be used to enlighten farm extension workers on the requirement for improved photosynthetic process, as a way to build starch yield in the underlying foundations of cassava.

Key words: Sugar, sucrose, starch, cassava, carbohydrate.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cassava (*Mannihot esculenta* Crantz) is a tropical root that has primarily sugars in its composition, for example, starch, glucose, sucrose and fructose [1], [2]. Cassava, is a major staple root crop grown in over 90 countries in many developing countries, particularly in West Africa, with Nigeria being the largest producing country in the world [3], [4a]. Starch has a special synthetic and physical attributes and nutritious quality, which set it apart from every single other sugar. It occurs naturally as discrete particles called granules, which are composed of a blend of two polymers – amylose and amylopectin [5], [6]. In addition, it has high purity, viscosity and dissolvability, making it to be effortlessly swollen, compared with other starches such as Potato, Rice and Corn [13]. Starch is the major caloric source in an assortment of weight control plans of individuals [3]. Starch assumes a vital part in creating sustenance items, either as a crude material or as a nourishment added substance, for example, a thickener, gelling agent, stabilizer, or surface enhancer. Corn, potato, and cassava are the most well-known source of starch for such

enterprises [7], [8], [9]. Starch are partitioned into the following groups; monosaccharides (simple sugars that are the simplest members of carbohydrates, cannot be subjected to hydrolysis); oligosaccharides (consists of small number of monosaccharides, usually two to ten molecules, with disaccharides being the most common in foods; polysaccharides (consist of large amount of monosaccharides). They vary in their physical and chemical properties compared to monosaccharides. Most important polysaccharides in food are starch, glycogen and cellulose [10]. The final products of starch processing in the digestive tract are totally glucose, fructose, and galactose. Since glucose is the main starch that can be oxidized in muscle, a significant part of the fructose and all of galactose are transported to the liver, after assimilation from the intestinal tract, and changed over into glucose. The transformation of fructose and galactose happens in the liver at generally lower rates [11]. Basic monosaccharides, for example, glucose can be specifically consumed by the host. Disaccharides, for example, maltose, lactose and sucrose can be hydrolyzed to their particular monosaccharides, yet the capacity to process complex plant polysaccharides, for example, inulin, pectin and xylan is exceptionally constrained [12]. Cassava waste as raw material in ethanol production, not only reduces waste material engendered from starch Industry, but additionally lowers the cost of ethanol engenderment [14]. The significance of reducing sugar cannot be overemphasized on the grounds that it is a fundamental raw material for the production of ethanol, a good option as transportation fuel in this era. Ethanol is beneficial to mankind; it can be used as solvent, disinfectant, radiator fluid and intermediate for other natural chemicals [15]. It is an inexhaustible biofuel that could be produced from plant biomass and consumed successfully in car motors without emanation of perilous gasses into the environment [16]. This research is aimed at investigating some simple sugar in cassava tubers the look for elective vitality has turned out to be central because of anthropogenic emanation greenhouse gases, which begin from ignition of non-renewable energy source as coal, oil and gaseous petrol [17].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Sample Collection

The root of three varieties of cassava obtained in triplicate from three distinct locations in Otukpo Local Government Area of Benue State were considered. The samples were collected at different maturity stages (November to April). Their exchange characters were validated at the Benue State Agricultural Research and Development Agency (BNARDA), Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria. The varieties are: (TMS 98/0581), (TMS 98/0505), and (TMS 98/0524)

2.2 Sample preparation

Cassava samples were gathered and transported instantly to the research facility at the Benue State University laboratory without cutting the stem, while the tubers were covered with black polythene bag. The samples were cleaned, peeled and washed with consumable water. Samples were cut into minor bits and dried at 60°C for 48 h in an oven. The dried samples were ground into flour. The flour samples were then bundled into polypropylene sacks and kept at room temperature for analysis.

2.3 Starch determination

Sedimentation technique was utilized for the extraction of starch [18]. The tubers were sorted out and washed with clean water. The tubers were peeled, washed, with clean water to remove all the dirt and ground into pieces of around 0.5 – 1 mm thick. The 300 g of the samples was weighed and processed with 800 ml of water utilizing a Blender. The slurry formed was filtered through a perfect cheddar material. The solids held by the fabric were washed with 4000 ml of water and filtered through the cheddar material until there was almost no starch in the deposit. The filtrate was allowed to sediment overnight and the fluid decanted and disposed off. The starch was dried under room temperature until completely dry. The dried starch was weighed and expressed as a percentage of fresh weight.

2.4 Determination of total sugars:

Total sugars were determined by methods described by AOAC [18]. Ten grams of the sample were dissolved in 100 ml of distilled water. 10 ml of concentrated HCl was added to the solution and warm in a water bath for 8 minutes. The solution was then neutralized with NaOH. The solution was made up to 200 ml with distilled water and filtered. 25 ml of mixed Fehling's solution was pipetted into a conical flask followed by the addition of 15 ml of the solution from the burette. The solution was heated and on boiling three drops of methylene blue was added. Further quantities of the solution were added from the burette (1 ml at a time) at 10 – 15 second interval to the boiling liquid until the indicator is completely decolourized. The titre values obtained correspond to the sugar content reported in mg/100 ml.

2.5 Determination of reducing sugars, non-reducing sugars and sucrose:

Reducing sugars were determined by methods described by AOAC [18]. About 10 g of the sample was dissolved in 50 ml of distilled water. The solution was made up to 100 ml with distilled water and filtered. About 25 ml of the mixed Fehling solution was pipetted into a conical flask followed by the addition of 15 ml of the solution from the burette. The solution was heated and on boiling three drops of methylene blue were added. Further quantities of the solution were added from the burette (1 ml at a time) at 10 – 15 seconds interval to the boiling liquid until the indicator is completely decolourized. The titre values obtained corresponded to the sugar content reported in mg/100 ml. The amount of non-reducing sugars was obtained by subtracting the reducing sugars from that of the total sugars. The amount of sucrose in the sample was also obtained by multiplying the amount of non-reducing sugars by the factor 0.95.

2.6 Statistical analysis

Examination of difference for the cassava varieties was conducted at a level of significance of $p < 0.05$ using an SPSS programme version 21.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1. Sugar contents of cassava tuber at harvest.

Varieties	n	Starch	Total sugar	Reducing sugar	Non-reducing sugar	sucrose
TMS 90/0581	3	38.34 ^a ±0.72	5.58 ^a ±0.01	0.34 ^b ±0.01	4.24 ^a ±0.01	4.03 ^a ±0.01
TMS 98/0505	3	34.83 ^b ±0.11	4.54 ^b ±0.02	0.48 ^a ±0.01	2.95 ^b ±0.02	2.80 ^b ±0.01
TMS 98/0524	3	27.94 ^c ±0.04	4.02 ^c ±0.01	0.28 ^c ±0.02	2.74 ^c ±0.11	2.60 ^c ±0.01
Mean±SD		33.70±5.29	4.71±0.79	0.37±0.10	3.31±0.81	3.14±0.77

a, b and c shows the variance of the three cultivars, as determined by ANOVA at $p < 0.05$. n=sample size. SD=standard deviation

Table 2. Changes in the sucrose content

Varieties	n	Months of maturity				
		November	December	January	February	March
TMS 98/0581	3	0.89 ^a ±0.10	0.92 ^a ±0.11	2.31 ^a ±0.21	4.42 ^a ±0.00	2.24 ^a ±0.10
TMS 98/0505	3	0.75 ^c ±0.01	0.81 ^c ±0.16	1.96 ^c ±0.17	3.92 ^c ±0.01	1.98 ^b ±0.12
TMS 98/0524	3	0.82 ^b ±0.01	0.89 ^b ±0.12	2.08 ^b ±0.14	4.11 ^b ±0.02	1.94 ^c ±0.01
Mean±SD		0.82±0.07	0.87±0.06	2.12±0.18	4.15±0.25	2.05±0.16

a, b and c shows the variance of the three cultivars, as determined by ANOVA at $p < 0.05$. n=sample size. SD=standard deviation

Table 3. Changes in the reducing sugar content

Varieties	n	Months of maturity				
		November	December	January	February	March
TMS 98/0581	3	2.12 ^a ±0.12	1.32 ^b ±0.24	1.24 ^a ±0.04	0.96 ^a ±0.00	0.95 ^a ±0.21
TMS 98/0505	3	1.94 ^c ±0.17	1.29 ^c ±0.11	0.98 ^c ±0.01	0.72 ^c ±0.22	0.72 ^c ±0.13
TMS 98/0524	3	2.03 ^b ±0.18	1.43 ^a ±0.17	1.02 ^b ±0.03	0.84 ^b ±0.01	0.83 ^b ±0.18
Mean±SD		2.03±0.09	1.35±0.07	1.08±0.14	0.84±0.12	0.83±0.07

a, b and c shows the variance of the three cultivars, as determined by ANOVA at $p < 0.05$. N=sample size. SD=standard deviation.

3.1 Sugar and starch content

Table 1 shows the sugar contents of the cassava varieties studied at harvest. Variations were observed in the total sugar content of the cassava varieties which ranged between 4.02 % to 5.58 %. The variety TMS 98/0581 had highest value, while TMS 98/0524 recorded the lowest value. Results obtained from these findings falls within the range of 1.58 % to 7.50 % reported in another study[19], [20]. It was observed that the reducing sugar content of the cassava varieties varied with ranges from 0.28 % to 0.48 % with TMS 98/0581 recording the highest value and TMS 98/0524 the lowest value. Result from this research reveals that all varieties had their reducing sugar content below value of 1.67 g/100g in other examination[21]. Non-reducing sugars varied with ranges from 2.74 % to 4.24% with TMA 98/0581being the highest and TMS 98/0524the lowest. The result shows that non- reducing sugars formed the major component of the total sugars of the cassava varieties. The results for sucrose content, shows variations amongst the varieties which ranged from 2.60% for TMS 98/0524 to 4.03 % for TMS 98/0581. Result from other findings reveals that 17% of the cassava root is made up of sucrose, which is higher that result of sucrose content from this report [22], [23] reported One way analysis of variance of the total sugars, reducing sugar, non-reducing sugar and sucrose data showed that there were significant differences ($p < 0.05$) amongst the cassava varieties, except for TMS 98/0505 and TMS 98/0524 values that were not significantly different within this range for reducing sugar and sucrose content. Variation was observed in the starch content of the cassava varieties which ranged from 27.94 % to 38.34 %. TMS 98/0524 had the lowest while TMS 98/0581 had the highest starch content. Results from this finding reveals that the starch content was within the range of 25 % and 35% [24], [25], but higher than reported value of 3.32% and 23.24% in other study [21]. Significant difference ($p < 0.05$) was observed amongst the cassava varieties.

3.2 Monthly variations in sugar content

Reducing sugar and sucrose are the two fundamental soluble sugars in cassava, of which the reducing sugar is the immediate product of photosynthesis as well as the essential substance for sucrose synthesis. During the growth period (November - February), as the cassava cultivars continued to grow, the sucrose content increases. A decrease was observed in the month of March which then recorded a slight increase during the period of harvesting. This decrease could be due to weak starch synthesis ability in the tuberous roots, while the reducing sugar content decreased slightly across the months until the harvesting period. The decrease could be as a result of the decrease photosynthetic activities toward the mature phase of the tuber development as most of the reducing sugar are converted into sucrose leading to the increase sucrose content as described in figure 1. The result also shows that there was a positive correlation between the sucrose content and the starch as described in figure 3. In this manner, keeping up a ceaseless sucrose supply in the tuberous roots is essential for high starch amassing in the roots.




Fig. 1. Variation of sucrose content with month of maturity




Fig. 2. Variation of reducing sugar content with month of maturity




Fig.3. Composition of starch and sucrose content

4. CONCLUSION

Cassava varieties studied had appreciable quantities of sugars and starch with total sugar (4.02 - 5.58) %, non-reducing sugar (2.74 - 4.24)%, reducing sugar (0.28 – 0.34) %, sucrose (2.60 – 4.03) % and starch (27.98 – 38.34) %. These values were significantly different amongst the cassava varieties. TMS 98/0581 varieties recorded higher sugar and starch levels as compared to the other varieties which had relatively lower concentrations. This gives the variety a high score impact, suitable for fermentation, and could be successfully used as raw material for industrial production of ethanol used as biofuel, and as an adhesive in paper making. The sucrose content maintains a progressive increase during the growth period (November - February), but recorded a decrease observed in the month of March, which then recorded a slight increase during the period of harvesting, while the reducing sugar content decreased slightly across the months until the harvesting period. This reduction could be as a result of weak starch synthesis ability in the tuberous roots. In conclusion, farm extension workers should be enlightened on the importance of seasonal impact on sugar variation, as the decrease in sucrose content can be enhanced by improving photosynthetic process, which might be a better approach to expand starch yield in the underlying foundations of cassava.

REFERENCES

- [1] Lewthwaite SL, Sutton KH, Triggs CM. Free sugars composition of sweet potato cultivars after storage. *Crop Hort. Sci.* 1997; 25, 33-41.
- [2] Takahata Y, Noda T, Nagata T. Varietal diversity of free sugar composition in storage root of sweet potato. *Japan J Breed.* 1992;42:515–521. doi: 10.1270/jsbbs1951.42.515.
- [3] Ubwa ST, Otache, MA, Igbum GO, Shambe T. Determination of Cyanide Content in Three Sweet Cassava Cultivars in Three Local Government Areas of Benue State, Nigeria. *Food and Nutri. Sc.* 2015; 6, 1078-1085. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/fns.2015.612112>.
- [4] Eleazu CO, Oti E, Amajor JU, Ikpeama AI and Eleazu KC. Nutritional evaluation and microbial load of cassava (manihot esculenta) sieviates and peels fermented in brine. *Int. J. Adv. Sci. Tech, Res.*, 2011a;1:1-23.
- [5] Albert L. Charles, Yung H. Chang, Wen C. Ko, Klanaroth Sriroth and Tzou C. HuangJ.. Influence of Amylopectin Structure and Amylose Content on the Gelling Properties of Five Cultivars of Cassava Starches. *Agric. Food Chem.*, 2005;53 (7), pp 2717–2725. DOI: 10.1021/jf048376+.
- [6] Bergmeyer HU. (1975). *Methoden der nzymatischen Analyse*. Ed. H. U. Bergmeyer, Dieter Oesterhelt. Angewandte Chemie International, Verlag Chemie GmbH, Weinheim.

- [7] Anuchita Moongngarm. Chemical compositions and resistant starch content in starchy foods. American Journal of Agricultural and Biological Sciences, 2013;8 (2): 107-113.
- [8] Huang YHD, HP, Kilili AW, Johnson CE.Changes in invertase Activities and Reducing Sugar Content in Sweetpotato Stored at Different Temperatures” Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 1999; 47, 4927-4931. DOI: 10.1021/jf9902191.
- [9] Becalski A, Lau BPY , Lewis D , Seaman SW. Acrylamide in Foods: Occurrence,Sources, and Modeling. J. Agric. Food Chem.2003;51 (3), pp 802–808. DOI: 10.1021/jf020889y.
- [10] Tzia C, Giannou V, Lebesi D, Cranioti C. Chemistry and functional properties of carbohydrates and sugars (monosaccharides, disaccharides, and polysaccharides). In: Sweeteners. Nutritional aspects,applications, and production technology. T.Varzakas, A.Labopoulos, S.Anestis (eds). CRC Press, Taylor&Francis Group,2012; p.11–45. doi.org/10.1201/b12065-3
- [11] Campbell B. Carbohydrate Metabolism. In: Sports Nutrition. Enhancing Athletic Performance. B.Campbell (eds). CRC Press, Tayloy&Francis Group,2013; p.45– 74.
- [12] Ibrahim M., Anishetty S. A meta-metabolome network of carbohydrate metabolism: Interactions between gut microbiota and host. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 2012;Vol. 428, p.278–284. DOI:10.1016/j.bbrc.2012.10.045
- [13] Zamora LL, Calderon JAG, Vazquoz ET and Reynoso EB, Mex J. (2002) Chem Soc.,2010, 54 (4) : 198 –203.
- [14] Badger PC. Trends in new crops and uses ASHS press, Alexendria.,2002, 17-21
- [15] Otulugbu, K., 2012. Production of ethanol from cellulose (Sawdust). Master's Thesis, University of Lund, Lund, Sweden.
- [16] Adelekan BA. Investigation of ethanol productivity of cassava crop as a sustainable source of biofuel in tropical countries. Afr. J. Biotechnol., 2010; 9 (35): 5643-5650.DOI: 10.5897/AJB10.288
- [17] Oyedepo SO. Energy and sustainable development in Nigeria: The way forward. Energy Sustainability Soc., 2012;Vol. 2. 10.1186/2192-0567-2-15
- [18] AOAC (2005) Official Methods of Analysis. 18th Edition, Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington D.C, USA.
- [19] Aryee FNA, Oduro I, Ellis WO, Afuakwa JJ. The physicochemical properties of flour samples from the roots of 31 varieties of cassava. Food Control 2006;17: 916–922.doi:10.1016/j.foodcont.
- [20] Padonou W, Mestres C, Nago MC. The quality of boiled cassava roots: instrumental characterization and relationship with physicochemical properties and sensorial properties. Food Chemistry 2005;89: 261–270.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.
- [21] Mégnanou RM, Kouassi SK, Akpa EE, Djedji C, Bony N, Lamine SN. Physico-chemical and biochemical characteristics of improved cassava varieties in Cote d’Ivoire. Journal of Animal and Plant Science 2009;5: 507-514.
- [22] Okigbo BN. Nutritional implications of projects giving high priority to the production of staples of low nutritive quality: The case for cassava (Manihotesculenta, Crantz) in the humid tropics of West Africa. Food Nutr Bull 1980;2: 1–17.
- [23] Charles AL, Sriroth K, Huang TC. Proximate composition, mineral contents, hydrogen cyanide and phytic acid of 5 cassava genotypes. Food Chemistry 2005;92: 615–620.
- [24] Montagnac JA, Davis CR, Tanumihardjo SA. Nutritional value of cassava for use as a staple food and recent advances for improvement. Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf 2009;8: 181-194.
- [25].Sornyotha S, Kyu KL, Ratanakhanokchai K. An efficient treatment for detoxification process of cassava starch by plant cell wall-degrading enzymes. J Biosci Bioeng 2010;109: 9-14.