# Preliminary studies on equilibrium and kinetics of heavy metal ion sorption on immobilized *Ficus asperifolia* stembark biomass

3 4

#### 5 Abstract

In our quest to exploit the biomasses in our local environment for an efficient water treatment at 6 the level of hazardous aqueous ions removal, we furthered here by immobilizing the stem bark 7 biomass of Ficus asperifolia in a stable polymer matrix of calcium alginate. The sorption 8 capacity of the immobilized Ficus asperifolia stembark (IFASB) for the heavy metal ions as 9 determined from the percentage change in initial concentrations of their aqueous solutions using 10 atomic absorption spectrophotometer (AAS), were as follows:  $Cu^{2+}$  96.41%,  $Fe^{3+}$  94.15%,  $Cd^{2+}$ 11 99.91%, Zn<sup>2+</sup> 97.91%, Pb<sup>2+</sup> 99.30%, Cr<sup>3+</sup> 97.12%, Mn<sup>2+</sup> 94.73% respectively. Equilibrium and 12 kinetics investigations were carried out while studying the effect of ionic strength, pH, initial 13 concentration and contact time on the sorption capacity of IFASB. Even though there are some 14 15 variations within the ranges of investigation, the IFASB can be said to still exhibit an impressive absorption capacity (not less than 80%) for all the ions and under all varied conditions. This 16 study therefore includes the IFASB in the archive of modified biomasses with economic 17 potentials in the removal of heavy metals from wastewater. 18

19

20 Keywords: Ficus asperifolia, heavy metal ions, biosorption, equilibrium

21

#### 22 **1. Introduction**

Aqueous heavy metal ions above some threshold concentration in utility water will pose a 23 wide range of health and environmental challenges to humans and other organisms [1]. One of 24 the sustainable ways to avert this is by removing this dangerous species or reducing them to safe 25 amount in discharges and wastewater before they are allowed to enter the water body. The word 26 27 "sustainable" will remain in the earlier statement only if extensive research into developing alternatives, efficient and sustainable technologies for the removal of these species. A number of 28 procedures have achieved the removal of these species from aqueous media, but none have been 29 without limitations calling for improvements [2, 3]. Among these limitations, cost has been the 30 most pinching. These therefore place biosorption above other methods being the most cost 31 efficient. There has been a rich archive of reports on biomasses and modified biomasses studied 32 for the removal of toxic metal ions from aqueous media [1, 3, 4, 5, 6], this is to keep obtaining 33 efficient alternatives with reduced cost implications in the biosorption procedure. Absorption 34 and/or adsorption are the two processes with which metal ions are removed from their aqueous 35 36 media and trapped in the biomass polymeric matrix. For these materials, a stable structure and organic functionalities with affinity for the metal ions are two basic criteria for their efficiency as 37 a biosorbent, and when these criteria is only partially fulfilled, various modifications may be 38 involved. 39

Immobilization is a modification procedure in which the sorbent is caged in a stable matrix by physical and/or chemical means. This impact improved structural integrity and functionalities that enhances the sorbent characteristics of the biomasses during sorption and regeneration processes. The alginate media was used in this study. The cross linking of the polymer is due to the binding of divalent cation  $Ca^{2+}$  to the carbonyl group(s) on biomass in a higher co-operative manner. This network immobilizes the biomass to produce the stable sorbent (Equation 1). Other media including carrageenan and polyacrylamide gel has also been reported [7], however, immobilization based on these polymeric matrices were said to result in restrictivediffusion because of closed embedding structures with low mechanical strength [6].

- 49
- 50 51

 $L + NaAlg + CaCl_{2(aq)} \rightarrow CaLAlg_{(s)}$  (Sorbent) ..... Equation 1

*Ficus asperifolia* (sand paper tree) is an evergreen tree reaching a height range 5-12 m. The tree is widely distributed in Africa specifically in Senegal, Cameroon, Sudan, Central and East Africa and also in Nigeria. In Adamawa State (North Eastern part of Nigeria), the tree is found in Michika, Hong, Gombi and song local government Areas. The root is locally used in the treatment of coughs, gastritis, urinary disorders and haemoorrhoids [8]. It was also gathered that the leaves are traditionally used as a coagulant. As at the time of this study, no industrial relevance of this plant is known to us.

This study seeks the production of a material with great potentials for industrial use in water remediation from a biomass obtained from the stembark of *Ficus asperifolia*. The equilibrium studies (under some typical conditions) and the kinetic studies of the removal of aqueous heavy metal ions by IFASB will guide in the optimized application of the material. This study will present another cheap alternative biosorbent with potentials in the biosorption of aqueous heavy metal ions from wastewaters.

65

#### 66 **2. Materials and methods**

# 6768 2.1 Materials

Sodium alginate, sodium chloride, calcium chloride, hydrochloric acid, chromium nitrate,
cadmium nitrate, Iron (II) nitrate, manganese nitrate, zinc nitrate, Lead nitrate and copper nitrate
are analytical grades and products the British drug house (BDH). These materials are used as
obtained with no further purification.

# 7374 2.2 Sampling

*Ficus asperifolia* stembarks was cut directly from the plant tree in the morning on  $4^{\text{th}}$ November, 2016 in Girei, Adamawa state, Nigeria.

#### 78 **2.3 Sample Preparation**

The biomass modified by immobilization was prepared thus; *Ficus asperifolia* stem barks were air dried in the laboratory for two months, after which it was grounded and sieved through 100 µm mesh to obtain a fine homogeneous particle size, which was kept and sealed in a clean polyethylene to avoid excessive moisture uptake prior to the modification process.

83

77

### 84 2.4 Immobilization Procedure of *Ficus asperifolia* Stem barks Solution

The biomass (4 g) was mixed with 100 cm<sup>3</sup> distilled water. An aliquot of the mixture (50 cm<sup>3</sup>) was taken and stirred vigorously with 50 cm<sup>3</sup> of 4% stock solution of sodium alginate till a stable homogeneous mixture was obtained. This mixture was quickly transferred into another beaker containing 60 cm<sup>3</sup> of 0.12 M calcium chloride solution to start the crosslinking reactions. The reaction (complete precipitation) was allowed to occur for 1 h after which the precipitate was filtered, washed with distill water and air-dried at room temperature to obtain the IFASB [9].

92 2.5 Preparation of Metal Ion Stock Solution

These metal ions  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Fe^{3+}$ ,  $Cr^{3+}$ ,  $Cd^{2+}$ ,  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $Mn^{2+}$  and  $Cu^{2+}$  was chosen during the study. Aqueous solutions (1000 ppm) of this metal ions were prepared from their salts by dissolving 1.60, 3.54, 4.10, 2.10, 2.95, 2.90 and 3.46 g of lead nitrate, iron (II) nitrate, chromium (II) nitrate, cadmium nitrate, copper nitrate, zinc nitrate and manganese nitrate respectively in 1 liter distilled water. From these stock solutions, 200 ppm aqueous solution of each metal ion was prepared by serial dilution [10].

#### 100 **2.6 Sorption Capacity of IFASB**

An aliquot (50 cm<sup>3</sup>) of the 200 ppm solution of each metal ion was introduced into a conical flask, IFASB (0.2 g) was added, the conical flask was quickly corked and set into a flash shaker and shaken for 2 hours. After the time out, the mixture was filtered, and the concentration of the filtrate was determined using AAS (atomic absorption spectrophotometer, model: ABUZ-4F8B2314D4, Serial number: AA0904M046) [10]. The percentage sorption capacity was calculated as follows:

% sorption = 
$$\frac{Ci-Cf}{Ci} \times 100$$

108 Where: Ci = initial concentration (200 ppm)

109 Cf = final concentration (as obtained from AAS)

110 Averages of triple determinations were recorded. This was repeated while varying some 111 parameters of the aqueous solutions to study the metal ions' sorption equilibrium and kinetics.

112

107

99

#### 113 2.7 Determination of the Effect of pH on Sorption Capacity of IFASB

Equilibrium sorption with respect to solution pH was studied within pH range 1 to 6, at room temperature (30° C) .The pH of the solutions was measured using phywe pH meter and adjusted using 1.0 M hydrochloric acid and 1.0 M sodium hydroxide [10].

117

121

#### 118 **2.8 Determination of Effect of Ionic Strength on Sorption Capacity**

Equilibrium sorption with respect to ionic strength was studied in a solution of 0.2 –
1.0% weight of NaCl/weight of aqueous solution [9].

#### 122 **2.9 Determination of the Effect of Contact Time on Sorption**

To determine the kinetics of sorption towards equilibrium for the aqueous metal ions, 8 sorption assembles for each aqueous solution were set shaking on the flash shaker. These were collected for analysis at different time intervals starting from 0.5 hour till the  $24^{\text{th}}$  hour, at room temperature  $30^{0}$  C [9].

127

#### 128 2.10 Determination of the Effect of Initial Concentration on Sorption Capacity

Equilibrium sorption with respect to the initial metal ion concentration was studied in lower concentration (5 ppm – 100 ppm) solutions of each aqueous metal ion. This was achieved by further serial dilutions [5].

- 132
- 133 **3. Results and discussion**
- 134

#### 135 **3.1 Sorption Capacity of IFASB**

Figure 1 presents the sorption capacity of IFASB for the different aqueous heavy metal ions with initial concentration of 200 ppm. Here, 96.41% was recorded for  $Cu^{2+}$ , 94.15% for Fe<sup>3+</sup>, 99.30% for Pb<sup>2+</sup>, 94.73% for Mn<sup>2+</sup>, 97.12% for Cr<sup>3+</sup>, 97.91% for Zn<sup>2+</sup> and 99.91% for Cd<sup>2+</sup>.

139  $Cu^{2+}$  was the least while  $Cd^{2+}$  was the most sorbed among the aqueous ions; however, with all 140 above 90%, the studied metal ions sorption on IFASB is encouragingly high. This can be most 141 attributed to synergetic effects between the biomass and alginate creating functionalities with 142 strong affinity for these aqueous ions. Compared to immobilized Ficus syncomorus leaves 143 (IFSL) biomass in our earlier reports [1, 3], IFASB has shown stronger sorption capacity for 144  $Cr^{3+}$ ,  $Cd^{2+}$ , and  $Zn^{2+}$ .



146

145

147 Figure 1: Sorption Capacity of IFASB for metal irons

#### 148

#### **3.2 Effect of time**

Figure 2 presents the effect of contact time on sorption capacity of IFASB for the metal 150 ions under study. It was observed that as the contact time increases, the sorption capacity of 151 metal ions also increases. IFASB reached sorption equilibrium after four hours for Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Cd<sup>2+</sup>, 152  $Mn^{2+}$ ,  $Cr^{3+}$  and six hours for  $Pb^{2+}$  and one hour for  $Fe^{3+}$  and  $Zn^{2+}$ . The variation in the 153 equilibrium sorption time for the aqueous ions can be attributed to their different masses and 154 ionic sizes hence different mobility [1]. Biomass affinity and the ion mobility are the basic 155 factors determining the rate at which the active sites are saturated [11]. For  $Fe^{3+}$ , the behavior 156 observed after the 1<sup>st</sup> hour may be due to rapid equilibrium attained on the outer/surface active 157 sites, followed by a gradual sorption onto the core active sites of the sorbent. 158



162 Figure 2: Effect of Contact Time on Sorption by IFASB

#### **3.3 Effect of initial metal ion concentration**

Figure 3 illustrates the effect of initial metal concentration on the equilibrium sorption of the aqueous metal ions on IFASB. It can be observed that the removal of metal ions by the bio-sorbent increases with increase in the initial metal ion concentration. This may be due to increase in flux and collision among the metal ions [12]. Increase in the initial concentrations of the aqueous solutions may increase interactions providing driving forces which will influence all mass transfer reactions between the biosorbent (solid phase) and aqueous phase [12, 13]. At 50 ppm, IFASB achieves equilibrium sorption for all the ions; however, the rate for Fe<sup>3+</sup> was the least. This can be explained in terms of the reactions/interactions between the active sites and metal ions being the slowest for  $Fe^{3+}$  under conditions with concentrations lower than 50 ppm. 



- 182
- 183
- 184

Figure 3: Effect of Initial Concentration on Sorption by IFASB

185 186

#### 187 **3.4 Effect of pH**

The result obtained from influence of pH on sorption capacities of IFASS is shown in 188 Figure 4. Aqueous solutions with initial concentration of 200 ppm with different pH (1-6) at 189 room temperature (30±2° C) were used in this study. The lower sorption generally observed at 190 the acidic media can be attributed to particle attrition and partial protonation of the active sites 191 192 with  $H_3O^+$ , competing with the metal ions for the available active sites [14]. The effect of pH on the sorption capacity of IFASB for the heavy metal ions depends on their different speciation as 193 the pH value changes [14]. This explains the different fashions of sorption for the different heavy 194 metals as they tend towards equilibrium. 195

196



198

199 Figure 4: Effect of pH on Sorption on IFASB

200 201

#### 202 **3.4 Effect of Ionic Strength on Sorption of IFASB**

Figure 5 presents the effect of ionic strength on sorption of the aqueous metal ions on 203 IFASB. Initial concentration of the aqueous heavy metal ions is 200 ppm with 0.2 - 1% NaCl. 204 The results show that sorption capacities of IFASB decreases (slightly for  $Cu^{2+}$ ,  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Mn^{2+}$ ,  $Cr^{3+}$  and  $Zn^{2+}$ ) and more significantly for  $Fe^{3+}$  and  $Cr^{3+}$  with increase in ionic strength. This may 205 206 signal electrostatic attractions between the ions and the biosorbent. Na<sup>+</sup> competes with the 207 aqueous metal ions in the electrostatic interaction with the active sites, and the sorption of the 208 heavy metal ions reduces as the concentration of the competitive ion and hence ionic strength 209 increases [15]. Increasing concentration of Na+ in the aqueous solution may also increase the 210 repulsive forces on the surface of the biosorbent leading to reduced sorption of the heavy metal 211 ions [6]. NaCl is a typical dissolved salt in wastewater. Even though it's of no significant 212 hazards, its presence and concentration may influence the sorption process [3]. 213

- 214
- 215
- 216



217

- 218
- 219 220

#### 221 Conclusion

This study has achieved its aim in establishing the potentials of a biomass prepared from 222 Ficus asperifolia stembark, as an alternative material with efficient capability in biosorption. The 223 biomass was modified by immobilization in a calcium alginate matrix. The modified biomass 224 exhibited synergetic effects resulting in a very strong affinity for the aqueous heavy metal ions 225 studied. Equilibrium and kinetic studies on the sorption onto the modified biomass under some 226 typical conditions showed that the material may not require the optimum environmental factors 227 or condition to achieve an efficient sorption of aqueous heavy metal ions from their media. The 228 study therefore has contributed in limiting the current challenges with the remediation of 229 wastewater polluted with heavy metals ions. It has also present Ficus asperifolia as a plant with 230 potentials as an industrial raw material. 231

Figure 5: Effect of Ionic Strength on Sorption by IFASB

- 232
- 233

#### 234 CONSENT

- It is not applicable.
- 236

#### 237 ETHICAL APPROVAL

- 238 It is not applicable.
- 239
- 240

#### 241 **REFERENCE**

243	1.	Nkafamiya II, Dass PM, Mustapha A, Akinterinwa A. Bioremediation: Removal of Pb <sup>2+</sup> ,
244		Cr <sup>3+</sup> and Cd <sup>2+</sup> from Aqueous Solution using Immobilized <i>Ficus sycomorus</i> Leaves.
245		Journal of Water Pollution & Purification Research, 2015;2(3):30-40

- 2. Aldehold D, William CJ, Edvyean RCJ. The Removal of Heavy Metal Ions Sea Weeds 246 and Derivatives. Bioresour Technol. 1996;58:1-6 247 3. Akinterinwa A, Nkafamiya II, Mustafa A, Japari, JI. Bioremediation: Sorption of Cu<sup>2+</sup>. 248 Fe<sup>3+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup> and Zn<sup>2+</sup> Using Immobilized Ficus Sycomorous Leaves Biomass. Journal of 249 Water Pollution and Purification Researcher, 2016;3(1): 6-13. 250 4. Akhtar N, Iqbal J, Iqbal M. MicroalgalLuffa Sponge Immobilized Disc: A New Efficient 251 Biosorbent for the Removal of Ni (II) from Aqueous Solution (2003). Lett Appl 252 253 Microbiol.2003;37: 149-153. 5. Barminas JT, Osemeahon SA. Development of Sodium Alginate and 254 255 Konkoli Gum-grafted-polyacrylamide Blend Membrane. Science forum, Journal of Pure and Applied Science. 2005;8:70-79. 256 6. Reza A, Amin P. Removal of Cerium (IV) Iron from Aqueous Solution Using Saw Dust 257 258 as a Very Low Cost Biomass. Int J Environ Sci Technol., 2010;5(3): 253-262. 259 7. Robinson PK. Immobilized Algal Technology for Wastewater Treatment. In: Wong YS, Tam FY, editors. Wastewater Treatment with Algae. Berlin: SpringerNerlag;1998:1-16. 260 8. Michel, A. Trees, Shrubs and Laimas of West Africa Dry Zones. CIRAD 261 MARGRAF Publishers, GMBA, MNHN, 2004:399. 262 9. Wuyep PA, Chuma AG, Awodi S, Nok. AJ. Biosorption of Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni, Cu and Pb 263 Metals Form Refinery Effluent by Calcium Alginate Immobilized Mycelia 264 Polyporussqumsunnolos, Scientific Research Essay. 2007;2(7): 27-221 265 10. Charamathy S, Chung, W, Marshall WE. Adsorption of Selected Toxic Metals by 266 Modified Pea Shell. Journal of Chemical Technology, 2001;75: 791-797. 267 11. Akinterinwa A, Tizhe BJ, Osemeahon SA. Bioremediation of Wastewater with 268 Immobilized Moringa oleifera Bark. Bagale Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences. 2014; 269 9(2): 76–86. 270 12. Wan MW, Kan. CC, Rogel BD, Dalida MLP. Adsorption of Copper (II) and Lead (II) 271 Ions from Aqueous Solution on Chitosan Coated Sand. Carbohydrate Polymer, 2010;80: 272 891-899. 273 13. El-Ashtoukhy ESZ, Amin NK, Abdulwahab O. Removal of Lead (II) from Aqueous 274 275 E.S.Z. Solution Using, Pomegranate Peel as New Absorbent. Deslination.2008:223: 162-173. 276 14. Arshadi M, Amiri MJ, Mousavi S. Kinetic, equilibrium and thermodynamic 277 investigations of Ni(II), Cd(II) and Co(II) adsorption on barley starw ash. Water 278 279 Resources and Industry, 2014;6: 1-17. 15. Lim LBI, Privantha N, Tennakoon DTB, Chieng HI, Dahri MK, Suklueng M, Breadnut 280 281 peel as a highly effective low-cost biosorbent for methylene blue: Equilibrium, 282 thermodynamic and kinetic studies. Arabian Journal of Chemistry, 2017;10, S3216-283 S3228 284 285 286
  - 287

**Appendix 3:** Effect of Initial Concentration on Sorption for IFASB.

288	APPENDIX							
289 A	Appendix 1: Sorption Capacity							
Metal ic	ons	Cu	Fe	Pb	Mn	Cr	Zn	Cd
Sorption capacity		96.41	94.15	99.30	94.73	97.12	97.91	99.91
of IFASB (%)		$\pm 0.0011$	$\pm 0.0064$	$\pm 0.0057$	$\pm 0.0042$	±0.0029	$\pm 0.0031$	$\pm 0.0048$
290								
291 Ap	pendix 2: E	ffect of Cont	act Time on S	Sorption for II	FASB.			
Time (hr)	0.5	1	2	4	6	8	14	24
$\frac{\text{Ions (\%)}}{Cv^{2+}}$	01.10	02.40	04.91	07.20	07.44	07.42	07.45	07.94
Cu	91.10	92.40	94.81	97.30	97.44	97.43	97.45	97.84
	$\pm 0.033$	±0.065	±0.069	±0.011	±0.015	$\pm 0.053$	$\pm 0.063$	$\pm 0.032$
$E_{0}^{3+}$	00.22	05 20	05 20	05 53	05.04	06 78	06.00	07.00
ГC	99.22 ⊥0.022	<i>93.39</i> ⊥0.042	+0.040	<i>4</i> 0.022	<i>93.9</i> 4 ⊥0.084	90.78 ±0.046	90.99	97.90 $\pm 0.045$
	±0.032	$\pm 0.043$	±0.049	10.032	±0.084	10.040	±0.034	$\pm 0.043$
$Ph^{2+}$	07 15	07 3/	07 55	07 78	08 21	98.82	08 85	99 71
10	+0.065	+0.054	+0.065	+0.094	+0.054	+0.02	+0.085	+0.043
	$\pm 0.000$	-0.034	$\pm 0.000$	-0.074	-0.004	$\pm 0.005$	-0.005	-0.045
Mn <sup>2+</sup>	94 57	94 89	95.01	95 30	95 29	95 34	95 60	96 49
10111	+0.095	+0.043	+0.048	+0.049	+0.095	+0.084	+0.084	+0.093
	-0.070	_0.015	-0.010	-0.017	_0.070	-0.001	_0.001	-0.075
$Cr^{3+}$	98 02	98 08	98 16	99 10	99 12	99 14	99 38	99 47
01	$\pm 0.074$	$\pm 0.084$	$\pm 0.074$	±0.093	$\pm 0.087$	$\pm 0.054$	±0.043	$\pm 0.084$
$Zn^{2+}$	98.40	98.41	98.43	98.54	98.53	98.50	98.55	99.03
	$\pm 0.084$	±0.094	$\pm 0.082$	±0.098	±0.083	±0.093	$\pm 0.083$	$\pm 0.078$
$Cd^{2+}$	96.69	96.79	96.99	97.35	97.46	97.57	97.75	98.44
	±0.093	±0.057	$\pm 0.034$	$\pm 0.068$	$\pm 0.054$	±0.094	±0.037	±0.012
292								
293								
294								
295								
296								
297								
298								
299								
300								

Initial concentration (ppm) Ions (%)	5	10	20	50	100
Cu <sup>2+</sup>	81.40	89.60	93.55	93.64	94.64
	±0.092	±0.023	±0.034	±0.034	±0.043
Fe <sup>3+</sup>	43.20	71.50	85.55	93.14	96.19
	±0.045	±0.064	±0.036	±0.042	±0.093
Pb <sup>2+</sup>	98.20	98.40	98.60	98.78	99.07
	±0.046	±0.057	±0.035	±0.082	±.0.033
Mn <sup>2+</sup>	91.60	92.10	92.45	93.18	93.35
	±0.034	±0.023	±0.034	±0.022	±0.034
Cr <sup>3+</sup>	88.20	90.80	91.40	93.18	93.38
	±0.034	±0.034	±0.034	±0.023	±0.034
Zn <sup>2+</sup>	95.40	94.46	95.45	97.64	97.90
	±0.054	±0.034	±0.033	±0.033	±0.011
$Cd^{2+}$	84.40	88.50	91.85	95.40	95.63
	±0.034	±.0.034	±0.045	±0.034	±0.034

#### Appendix 4: Effect of pH on Sorption for IFASB.

Siz Appendix in Ellect of pir on Sciption for hirds.									
рН	1	2	3	4	5	6			
Ions (%)									
Cu <sup>2+</sup>	92.93	93.62	94.10	94.66	96.32	97.08			
	$\pm 0.091$	$\pm 0.022$	$\pm 0.071$	$\pm 0.066$	$\pm 0.019$	$\pm 0.043$			
$\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$	98 34	98 68	98 95	99 24	99 38	99 38			
10	$\pm 0.062$	$\pm 0.054$	$\pm 0.021$	$\pm 0.017$	±0.042	±0.011			
2									
$Pb^{2+}$	99.06	99.31	99.32	99.36	99.42	99.55			
	$\pm 0.043$	$\pm 0.088$	±0.061	±0.035	$\pm 0.081$	$\pm 0.055$			
2+	0.5.00	05.40	0.5.50	05.04	0.5.05	05.05			
Mn <sup>2</sup>	95.28	95.43	95.52	95.84	95.85	95.85			
	$\pm 0.017$	$\pm 0.052$	$\pm 0.099$	$\pm 0.033$	$\pm 0.071$	$\pm 0.088$			
$Cr^{3+}$	05 30	95 / 15	96 47	97 52	98 77	00 31			
CI	99.59 +0.050	99. <del>4</del> 9	20.47	21.32	<i>70.11</i>	77.J1			
	$\pm 0.056$	$\pm 0.051$	$\pm 0.018$	±0.049	$\pm 0.012$	$\pm 0.083$			

Zn <sup>2+</sup>	98.38	98.39	98.41	98.43	98.44	98.46
	±0.063	±0.087	±0.011	±0.028	±0.086	±0.091
$Cd^{2+}$	96.45	96.72	96.95	97.30	97.33	97.37
	±0.087	±0.016	±0.091	±0.082	±0.012	±0.097
313 314 315 316 317 318 319 Append	ix 5: Effect of 1	Ionic Strength or	Sorption for	·IFASB		
Ionic stre (NaCl%	ngth w/w) 0.2	0.4	0.0	6	0.8	1.0
Cu <sup>2+</sup>	92.68	92.51	92	2.44	92.38	92.27
	±0.093	±0.032	±0	0.022	±0.023	±0.028
Fe <sup>3+</sup>	93.30	93.11	92	2.65	92.36	91.02
	±0.053	±0.057	±0	).027	±0.028	±0.021
Pb <sup>2+</sup>	99.92	99.91	99	0.83	99.73	99.71
	±0.052	±0.052	±0	0.072	±0.063	±0.024
Mn <sup>2+</sup>	94.74	94.73	94	l.71	94.69	94.65
	±0.035	±0.034	±0	).023	±0.023	±0.022
Cr <sup>3+</sup>	91.04	90.60	89	0.88	89.89	89.97
	±0.033	±0.032	±0	0.038	±0.034	±0.022
Zn <sup>2+</sup>	98.42	98.41	98	3.40	98.38	98.39
	±0.033	±0.022	±0	).033	±0.034	±0.029
$Cd^{2+}$	96.98	96.97	96	5.94	96.91	96.89
	±0.035	±0.033	±0	).027	±0.022	±0.028