

Original Research Article

XRD Studies, Spectral Characteristics, TGA and DFT of 2,4-Diamino-6-phenyl-1,3,5-triazine:Phenylthioacetic acid Cocrystal

Abstract

The title compound was prepared by crystallizing together the conformers namely 2,4-diamino-6-phenyl-1,3,5-triazine (DAPT) and phenylthioacetic acid (PTAA) in methanol. The compound crystallized in the orthorhombic space group $Pca2_1$ with $a = 12.384(2) \text{ \AA}$, $b = 18.698(3) \text{ \AA}$, $c = 7.0428(11) \text{ \AA}$, $V = 1630.8(5) \text{ \AA}^3$, and $Z = 4$. The compound existed as a 1:1 cocrystal. The dihedral angle between the two formers is $50.89(9)^\circ$. The primary and secondary interactions between DAPT and PTAA form two different discrete chains $C(3)$ that link the DAPT ribbons in adjacent layer. LC-MS indicates the transfer of a proton from the acid to the base, whereas SCXRD exhibited a neutral-single interaction.

Keywords: Molecular cocrystal, DAPT:PTAA, XRD, TGA, DFT

1. Introduction

6-Aryl-2,4-diamino-1,3,5-triazines have been reported as new ligands with potential multi-coordination modes [1], cross linkers in coatings [2], vermin-micro capsules with slow-release potentiality [3], and corrosion resistant agents on metal surfaces [4]. Investigations concerning 6-substituted 2,4-diamino-1,3,5-triazine are focused in their properties in molecular recognition [5]. They also find applications in colorants [6] for making laser dyes, optical data storage devices, and liquid crystal display.

Phenylthioacetic acid (Ph-S-CH₂-COOH) (PTAA) is a synthetic precursor for a variety of Ph-S-containing compounds such as PhSCH₂=C=O, PhSCH₂CON=C=S, PhSCH₂CO₂Me, PhSCH₂NO₂, MeCH=C(NO₂)SPh, PhSCHClCO₂H, PhSCH₂Cl, and 2-(phenylthio)methyl-1-oxazolidine derivatives [7-12]. Phenylthioacetic acid is used as a free radical quencher in laser flash photolysis experiments [13]. Ph-S-CH₂-COOH in its triplet excited state undergoes intermolecular electron transfer allowed by hydrogen abstraction and decarboxylation producing alkyl radicals, which are the active initiator

radicals in photo-induced polymerization. Derivatives of PTAA such as o-hydroxyphenylthio)acetic acid and benzo-bis-(β -thiopropionic) acid are shown to be anti-tuberculosic [14-15]. The present work is focused on the XRD studies, spectral characteristics, and TGA of 2,4-diamino-6-phenyl-1,3,5-triazine:phenylthioacetic acid cocrystal.

2. Experimental

2.1 General

The FT-IR spectra were recorded in pellet form with spectral grade KBr on a JASCO FT-IR 410 spectrometer in the range 4000–400 cm^{-1} . ESI mass spectra were obtained on an Agilent 1100 series LC/MSD spectrometer. Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) experiments were performed on EXSTAR SII TG/DTA 6300 analyzer in the temperature range 50–800°C under atmospheric conditions at a heating rate of 10°C/min. The single crystal XRD structure of 2,4-diamino-6-phenyl-1,3,5-triazine:phenylthioacetic acid cocrystal was determined using a BRUKER APEX 2 X-ray (three-circle) diffractometer.

Intensity datasets were collected at room temperature on a BRUKER SMART APEXII CCD [16] area-detector diffractometer equipped with graphite monochromated Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$). The data were reduced using the program SAINT and empirical absorption corrections were carried out using the SADABS [17]. The structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXS-97 and subsequent Fourier analyses, refined anisotropically by full-matrix least-squares method using SHELXL-97 [18] within the WINGX suite of software, based on F^2 with all reflections. All carbon-hydrogen's were positioned geometrically and refined by a riding model with Uiso1.2 times that of attached atoms. All non-H atoms were refined anisotropically. The molecular structures were drawn using the ORTEP-III and POV-ray programs [19].

2.2 Computational methodology

All calculations were performed using Gaussian 09 software [20]. Gas phase geometry was fully optimized at Density Functional Theory (DFT/B3LYP-6-31G(d)) method. The electronic properties were calculated from the Koopmans' theorem and the molecular properties like geometry, total energy, molecular electrostatic potential, E_{HOMO} , E_{LUMO} , dipole moment, electron affinity, ionization potential, chemical

potential, electronegativity, absolute hardness, softness, and nucleophilicity were carried out as reported [21].

2. 3 Synthesis of DAPT:PTAA cocrystal

Equimolar amounts 2,4-diamino-6-phenyl-1,3,5-triazine (DAPT) and phenylthioacetic acid (PTAA) were separately prepared in dry methanol. One solution was added slowly to the other. The mixture was kept undisturbed at ambient temperature. After a period of two weeks, colorless cocrystals of DAPT:PTAA were obtained in 75% yield.

3. Results and dicussion

3. 1 IR spectra

IR spectrum was recorded to confirm the transfer of carboxylic proton from PTAA to DAPT and also to identify the specific hydrogen bonds. If a proton transfer occurs from the acid to N of the base moiety, very broad peaks would appear around $2500\pm 100\text{ cm}^{-1}$. However, absence of any such band in the IR of the cocrystal is indicative of the nontransfer of proton from the acid to the base [22]. The IR spectrum of the cocrystal shows C=O stretching frequency at 1641 cm^{-1} and –OH stretching frequency at 3214 cm^{-1} . There are significant variations in the stretching frequencies of –OH, C=N, and C=O groups compared to those of the cocrystal formers. Changes in the carbonyl frequencies in the crystal [23, 24], in general, indicate the formation of the cocrystal. The C=O_{str} of the cocrystal (1641 cm^{-1}) shows a difference of 64 cm^{-1} from that of the free acid, PTAA (1705 cm^{-1}). Thus, it is understood that the C=O group is involved in H-bonding.

3. 2 Mass spectra

The LC-MS mass spectrum of DAPT:PTAA in negative ion mode shows the base peak at 167.04 (M^+ of PTAA is 168.04). This indicates the loss of an amu by the acid. The mass spectrum of DAPT:PTAA cocrystal in positive ion mode shows the base peak at 188.08 (M^+ of DAPT is 187.08). This is due to the acceptance of a proton by DAPT from PTAA.

3. 3 Thermogravimetric analysis

TGA measurement of DAPT:PTAA shows that the cocrystal remains intact until 150°C . The first weight loss of 9.9% (calc.9.85%) may be due to the removal of one each of NH_3 and H_2O molecules at $125.8\text{--}141.3^\circ\text{C}$. The second stage decomposition of the

cocrystal occurs between 160 and 270°C (66%). There is a gradual decomposition after 180°C-400°C.

3. 4 Single crystal XRD analysis of the cocrystal DAPT:PTAA

Suitable single crystal of 2,4-diamino-6-phenyl-1,3,5-triazine:phenylthioacetic acid (DAPT:PTAA) was placed in a cooled nitrogen gas stream at 90 K on a Nonius Kappa CCD diffractometer fitted with an Oxford Cryostream cooler with graphite-monochromated Mo-K α radiation. Data reduction and cell refinement was done using 'Denzo and Scalepack. The structure is determined by direct methods and difference-Fourier techniques. SIR97 and SHELXL97 programs were used to solve and refine the crystal structures [25-28]. The chemical structure of DAPT:PTAA is given in Fig.1.




Fig. 1. The chemical structure of DAPT:PTAA

The software used to prepare the crystallographic details was PARST97 [29]. Crystallographic data and structural refinement for the cocrystal is summarized in Table 1. Hydrogen bond geometries of the DAPT: PTAA cocrystal are given in Table 2.

Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement parameters for the 2,4-diamino-6-phenyl-1,3,5-triazine:phenylthioacetic acid (DAPT:PTAA) cocrystal

Empirical Formula	C ₁₇ H ₁₇ N ₃ O ₂ S
Formula weight	355.41
Temperature	110.15 K
Wavelength	0.71073 Å ⁰
Crystal system	Orthorhombic
Space Group	P c a 21
Unit cell dimensions	a = 12.384(2) Å ⁰ b = 18.698(3) Å ⁰ c = 7.0428(11) Å ⁰

Volume, V	1630.8(5) Å ³
Z	4
Density (calculated)	1.448 Mg/m ³
Absorption coefficient	0.221 mm ⁻¹
F(000)	744
Crystal size	0.81×0.16×0.04 mm ³
Theta range for data collection	1.972 to 28.837°
Index ranges	-16≤h≤16, -25≤k≤24, -9≤l≤9
Reflections collected	29764
Independent reflections	4111 [R(int)=0.0380]
Completeness to theta = 25.242°	99.8 %
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from Equivalents
Max. and Min. transmission	0.7458 and 0.7088
Refinement Method	Full-matrix-least squares F ²
Date/restraints/parameters	4111 / 1 / 227
Goodness of Fit on F ²	1.055
Final R indices [I>2σ(I)]	R1 =0.0324, wR2 =0.0766
R indices (all data)	R1 =0.0368, wR2 =0.0796
Absolute structure parameter	0.01(2)
Extinction coefficient	n/a
Largest different peak and hole	0.387 and -0.201 e.Å ⁻³

114

115 Crystal Structure of DAPT:PTAA shows that the molecule crystallized in *Pca*2₁
116 space group existing as a 1:1 co-crystal. The ORTEP diagram and packing arrangement
117 of the title compound are shown in Fig.2. It consists of one molecule of 2,4-diamino-6-
118 phenyl-1,3,5-triazine (DAPT) and one molecule of 2-phenylthioacetic acid (PTAA) in
119 the asymmetric unit. The dihedral angle between the triazine moiety of DAPT and
120 thioacetyl moiety of PTAA is found to be 50.89(9)°.





Fig. 2 ORTEP view of DAPT:PTAA with displacement ellipsoids drawn at 50% probability level

Twisting of the acid and 3° amino groups across the O–H···N hydrogen bond gives the neutral-single interaction motif as shown below.



The crystal structure shows that there is no proton transfer from the carboxyl group of PTAA to the DAPT in the asymmetric unit. The predicted intermolecular hydrogen bonding motif N₂–H–O₂ is observed between the nitrogen of DAPT and carboxyl group of PTAA. It could be noticed that steric requirements warrant the H-bonding to occur between N₂ and H–O₂– and naturally the other nitrogens are spared.

3. 5 H-Bondings in the cocrystal DAPT:PTAA

Each PTAA combines with one unit of DAPT through O(2)–H(2)–N(2) hydrogen bonding with Etter's graph set designator D forming discrete molecules [30]. The O(2)---N(2) distance is 2.663 Å. This bond is essentially linear, with an angle about hydrogen atom 167.0°. The normal bond length of –O–H is 0.835 Å. As the carboxylic proton of PTAA forms hydrogen bonding with the N₂ of DAPT, the bond length of the –O–H is slightly increased (0.84 Å) Fig. 3.




Fig. 3 Supramolecular sheets through N-H...N, N-H...O, C-H...S hydrogen bonds and C-H... π interaction. [Symmetry codes: (i) $-1/2+x, 1-y, z$; (ii) $1/2+x, 1-y, z$; (iii) $1/2-x, y, -1/2+z$; (iv) $1/2-x, y, 1/2+z$].

Table 2 Hydrogen Bonds

D-H...A	d (D-H) Å	d (H...A) Å	d (D...A) Å	$\angle$ (DHA) deg
O(2)-H(2)...N(2)	0.84	1.84	2.663(2)	167.0
N(4)-H(4A)...O(1)#1	0.88	2.21	2.985(2)	146.1
N(4)-H(4B)...N(3)#2	0.88	2.17	3.036(2)	165.9
N(5)-H(5B)...N(1)#3	0.88	2.15	3.017(2)	167.4

When there is an insufficient pKa difference between the COOH and amino group, proton transfer does not occur to form any hydrogen bond such as $N^+-H \cdots O^-$. In the cocrystal, each DAPT molecule links two different PTAA molecules through $\text{O}2\text{-H} \cdots \text{N}2$ and $\text{N}4\text{-H} \cdots \text{O}1^{\text{iii}}$ [symmetry code: $1/2-x, y, -1/2+z$] hydrogen bonds. Here, the dihedral angle between the phenyl ring and the thioacetyl group of PTAA is found to be $11.51(11)^\circ$. The DAPT molecule forms its centro symmetric dimers *via* two pairs of $\text{N}4\text{-H} \cdots \text{N}3^{\text{i}}$ [symmetry code: $-1/2+x, 1-y, z$] and $\text{N}5\text{-H} \cdots \text{N}1^{\text{ii}}$ [symmetry code: $1/2+x, 1-y, z$] hydrogen bonds to form two different $R_2^2(8)$ heterosynthons [31, 32] and extends as a supramolecular ribbon along the *a* axis. On each side of the supramolecular ribbon, a ring motif $R_3^3(13)$ involving one DAPT and two PTAA molecules is formed *via*

N-H...O, O-H...N and weak C-H...S hydrogen bonds. The C16-H...S1ⁱⁱⁱ [symmetry code: 1/2-x, y, -1/2+z] hydrogen bond links symmetry related PTAA molecules. The continuous occurrence of R₂²(8) and R₃³(13) ring motifs develop two dimensional supramolecular sheet extending along *a* axis as shown in Fig. 4 The two different discrete chains C(3) formed by the primary and secondary interactions between DAPT and PTAA molecules link DAPT ribbons in the adjacent layer.




Fig. 4 Symmetry Codes: 1/2-x, y, 1/2+z; (v) 1-x, -y, -1/2+z

The supramolecular sheets in adjacent layer are further connected by two different C-H... π interactions [33]. This weak interactions occur between phenyl C-H group (C11 and C15) of PTAA molecule and aromatic ring Cg^{iv} (symmetry code: 1/2-x, y, 1/2+z) and Cg^v (symmetry code: 1-x, -y, -1/2+z) with d(C... π) distance of 3.642(3) and 3.510(2) Å and d(H... π) = 2.90 and 2.72 Å, respectively (Fig. 4). The crystal structure is stabilized by the face-face π - π stacking force that is found between the parallel presence of triazine moiety of DAPT and the phenyl ring of PTAA.

3. 6 Supplementary Information:

The complete set of structural parameters has been deposited in CCDC (Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre) with the CCDC deposition number **1061731**. These data may be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre *via*

[www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/ data_request/cif](http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif) (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre; Postal Address: CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK

3. 7 Theoretical studies

The DFT method represents good correlation between the calculated geometrical parameters and the single crystal XRD (SCXRD) data. This method also helps in the calculation of the other parameters for the acid (PTAA) and the cocrystal (DAPT:PTAA). Table 3 reveals that the geometrical parameters of theoretical studies are nearly the same as the experimental ones for the acid (PTAA) and the cocrystal (DAPT:PTAA). The acid (PTAA) and the cocrystal (DAPT:PTAA) have been studied theoretically in the absence of their SCXRD data using the B3LYP/3-21G(d) level of theory. Thus we have investigated the electronic structures of the acid (PTAA) and the cocrystal (DAPT:PTAA) using the DFT method. Fig.5 shows the optimized structures of the acid (PTAA) and the cocrystal (DAPT:PTAA). Cocrystal (DAPT:PTAA) has lower energy (-1480.18eV) than the acid (PTAA) (-621.02eV) and triazine (-853.67) (Table 3).





Fig. 5 Optimized structure of DAPT:PTAA


3. 8 Frontier molecular orbitals

Frontier molecular orbitals (FMO) could provide information regarding the inverse dependence of stabilization energy on orbital energy difference. E_{HOMO} is, in general associated with the electron donating ability of a molecule. High values of E_{HOMO} are likely to express the tendency of the molecule to donate electrons to acceptor molecules of lower energy MO. E_{LUMO} , indicates the ability of the molecule to accept electrons [34]. The binding ability of the molecule increases with increasing HOMO and decreasing LUMO energy values. Thus, the lower the value of E_{LUMO} , the

most probable it is that the molecule would accept electrons. Fig. 6 reveals the HOMO and LUMO of the cocrystal (DAPT:PTAA). E_{HOMO} and E_{LUMO} values of the cocrystal are found to be -5.6235 and -1.4171 (eV) respectively (Table 3)..



HOMO



LUMO

Fig. 6 HOMO and LUMO of the cocrystal (DAPT:PTAA)

The difference between the HOMO and LUMO energy levels (ΔE) of the molecule is an important parameter determining the reactivity of the molecule. As ΔE decreases (especially for the cationic species), the reactivity of the molecule increases making the molecule less stable. Table 3 reveals that the HOMO–LUMO energy gap ΔE of the cocrystal (DAPT:PTAA) is lower than that of acid (PTAA) and triazine.

Table 3 Molecular properties of the compound calculated using DFT at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) basis set in gaseous phase

Compound	HOMO	LUMO	ΔE (eV)	IP (eV)	EA (eV)	χ (eV)	μ (eV)	η (eV)	σ (eV)	ω (eV)	Total energy(eV)	Dipole moment, D
cocrystal	-5.6235	-1.4171	4.2064	5.6235	1.4171	3.5203	-3.5203	2.1032	0.4755	2.9461	-1480.18	3.1456
Acid	-5.4921	-1.8292	3.6629	5.4921	1.8292	3.66065	-3.6606	1.83145	0.5460	3.6584	-621.02	2.8989
Triazine	-6.0163	-1.4555	4.5608	6.0163	1.4555	3.7359	-3.7359	2.2804	0.4385	3.0602	-853.67	0.1848

IP–ionization potential; EA–electron affinity; χ –electronegativity; μ –electrochemical potential; η –absolute hardness; σ –softness; ω –nucleophilicity

The dipole moment, which is defined as the first derivative of the energy with respect to an applied electric field, is mainly used to study the intermolecular interactions such as van der Waals type dipole–dipole forces *etc.* The larger the dipole moment, the stronger will be the intermolecular attraction [35]. The cocrystal (DAPT:PTAA) has higher dipole moment (3.1456 D) which reveals it to be more polar than the acid and triazine. Absolute hardness, η , and softness, σ , are important properties to measure the molecular stability and reactivity. A hard molecule has a large energy gap and a soft molecule has a small energy gap. Soft molecules are more reactive than hard ones because they could easily offer electrons to an acceptor. For the simplest transfer of electrons, absorption could occur at the part of the molecule where σ has the highest magnitude whereas η has the lowest [36]. The nucleophilicity, ω , measures the electrophilic power of a molecule. It has been reported that the lower the value ω , the lower the capacity of the molecule to donate electrons [37]. Table 3 shows that cocrystal (DAPT:PTAA) has moderate energy gap (ΔE , 4.2064 eV).

According to the molecular orbital (MO) theory, HOMO and LUMO are the most important factors affecting the bioactivity. The interaction between these molecules and the receptor of bacteria are correlated to π – π or hydrophobic interaction among these frontier molecular orbitals. If the charged parameters are responsible for antimicrobial activity of these molecules, then the negative charges mainly located on carbonyl O-atom may be said to interact with the positive portion of the receptor. The N–H and C–H, being the most positively charged parts, can interact with the negatively charged region of the receptor easily. We have finally resolved that the HOMO and LUMO of the cocrystal is mostly having π -antibonding type orbitals and thus, the electronic transitions from the HOMO to LUMO are mainly derived from the contribution of π – π^* bands [38].

3. 4 Mulliken charge analysis

Generally, Mulliken atomic charge calculation has an important application of quantum chemical calculations to molecular systems. It plays a vital role in the packing of crystals in the solid state by means of intermolecular interaction and it has significant influence on dipole moment, polarizability, electronic structure and vibrational modes

[39]. The Mulliken charge analysis of the cocrystal DAPT:PTAA is calculated at the HF and DFT/B3LYP levels and given in Table 4, corresponding population analysis graph is shown in Fig. 7.




Fig.7 Mulliken charge of DAPT:PTAA

All the hydrogen atoms of DAPT:PTAA are of positive nature and all nitrogen atoms are negative. All carbon atoms have negative charges excepting the four carbon atoms namely C19, C29, C30, and C31 that have positive charges since these carbon atoms are situated between or aside the electronegative atoms (nitrogen and oxygen) (Fig. 6). This reveals the intermolecular charge transfer between the ions and hence the possibility of hydrogen bonding association and crystal packing especially, the intermolecular charge transfer in the two N–H···O and two N–H···N intermolecular hydrogen bonds.

Table 4 Mulliken charge value of the cocrystal DAPT:PTAA

Atom Number	Atom symbol	Mulliken charge
1	S	0.36278
2	O	-0.34062
3	O	-0.52373
4	H	0.41965
5	C	-0.34798
6	C	-0.14365
7	H	0.18155

8	C	-0.14806
9	H	0.1718
10	C	-0.17395
11	H	0.1737
12	C	-0.14951
13	H	0.16867
14	C	-0.12862
15	H	0.17883
16	C	-0.7884
17	H	0.26974
18	H	0.2748
19	C	0.50962
20	N	-0.36158
21	N	-0.55346
22	N	-0.35806
23	N	-0.75581
24	H	0.34639
25	H	0.35026
26	N	-0.77732
27	H	0.34748
28	H	0.34809
29	C	0.08845
30	C	0.59142
31	C	0.58965
32	C	-0.02729
33	C	-0.08616
34	H	0.19134
35	C	-0.18719
36	H	0.17307
37	C	-0.14785
38	H	0.17253
39	C	-0.18716
40	H	0.17287
41	C	-0.0878
42	H	0.19155

262

263

4. Conclusion

264

265

266

267

268

269

The cocrystal 2,4-diamino-6-phenyl-1,3,5-triazine:phenylthioacetic acid (DAPT:PTAA) has been prepared. The IR(KBr) of DAPT:PTAA indicates changes in the stretching frequencies of C=O group ascertaining the formation of the cocrystal. The recorded TGA of the cocrystal shows its thermal stability upto 180°C. The LC-MS mass spectra indicate that proton transfer does occur under mass spectral (LC-MS) conditions whereas the nontransfer of proton from PTAA to DAPT is noticed in SCXRD. Single

crystal XRD of DAPT:PTAA displays the presence of a neutral-single interaction (OH...N) between the two molecules. Further the crystal structure of DAPT:PTAA is found stabilized by various H-bondings as well as π - π stackings.

References

1. B. Parker, D.Y. Son, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, **5**, (2002), 516.
2. (a) D. M. Lewis, Y. C. Ho, *Dyes Pigment.*, **28**, (1995), 171. (b) S. K. Lai, A. Batra, C. Cohen, *Polymer.*, **46**, (2005), 4204. (c) G. G. Barclay, C. K. Ober, K. I. Papathomes, D. W. Wang, *Macromolecules.*, **25**, (1992), 2942.
3. R. Oishi, K. Utaka, K. Ona, M. Ohki, T. Yasune, EP Patent 348550, Chem.Abstr., **112**, (1990), 212501.
4. J. Kalab, J. Moravek, CS Patent 254623, Chem. Abstr., **111**, (1989), 69851.
5. F. H. Beijer, H. Kooijman, A. L. Spek, R. P. Sijbesma, E. W. Meijer, *Angew. Chem.Int.*, **37**, (1998), 75.
6. (a) B. Qu, Z. Chen, F. Xu, H. Cao, Z. Lan, Z. Wang, Q. Gong, *Org. Electron.*, **8**, (2007), 529; (b) H. F. Chen, S. J. Yang, Z. H. Tsai, W. Y. Hung, T. C. Wang, K. T. Wang, *J. Mater.Chem.*, **19**, (2009), 8112; (c) S. Um, Y. Kang, J. K. Lee, *Dyes Pigment.*, **75**, (2007), 681; (d) T. Kanstantinova, P. Petrova, *Dyes Pigment.*, **52**, (2002), 115; (e) M. Klancnik, M. Gorenssek, *Dyes Pigment.*, **33**, (1997), 337; (f) C.H. Lee, T. Yamamoto, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **42**, (2001), 3993.
7. Y. Ohshiro, N. Ando, M. Komatsu, T. Agawa, *Synthesis.*, (1985), 276.
8. M. Kennedy, M. A. McKerver, A. R Maguire, S. J. Naughton, *Chem.Soc, Perkin Trans.*, **1**, (1990), 1041.
9. M. Miyashita, T. Kumazawa, A. Yoshikoshi, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem.Comm.*, (1978), 362.
10. M. Miyashita, T. Kumazawa, A. Yoshikoshi, *Chem*, **45**, (1980), 2945.
11. F. G. Bordwell, J. B. Wolfinger, J. O'Dwyer, *Org. Chem.*, **39**, (1974), 2516.
12. J. C. Clinet, G. Balavoine, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **28** (1987), 5509.
13. M. Aydin, N. Arsu, Y. Yagci, S. Jockusch, and N. J. Turro, *Macromolecules*, **38**, (2005), 4133-4138.
14. J. Lange, T. Urbański, *Dissert. Pharm. Pharmacol.*, **20**, (1968), 589
15. M. Solotorovsky, *Am. Rev. Tuberc.*, **74**, (1956), 68.
16. Bruker APEX2, SAINT and SADABS, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2008.

17. G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst. A* 64 (2008) 112.
18. A. L. Spek, *Acta Cryst. D* 65 (2009) 148.
19. L. J. Farrugia, *POV-Ray – 3.5*, Glasgow University, Australia, 2003
20. M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. P. Voth, Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2009.
21. G. S. Suresh Kumar, A. M. Prabu, S. JeganJennifer, N. Bhuvanesh, Thomas Muthiah, P; Kumaresan, S, *Journal of Molecular Structure* 1047 (2013) 109–120
22. R. F. Evans and W. Kynaston, *J. of the Chem. Soc.*, 1962, 10005)
23. G. M. Sheldrick, *Cell_Now* (version 2008/1): Program for Obtaining Unit Cell Constants from Single Crystal Data, University of Göttingen, Germany.
24. O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard, H. Puschmann, *OLEX2: a complete structure solution, refinement and analysis program*, *J. Appl. Cryst.* 42, (2009), 339–341.
25. R. M. Silverstein, G. C. Bessler, T. C. Morill., *Spectrometric identification of organic compounds*, 5, (1991).
26. P. Preetsch, P. Buhlman, C. Affolter, *structure determination of organic compounds tables of spectral data*, (2000).
27. E. Nonius (1989). *CAD-4 Software (or CAD-4 EXPRESS)*. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
28. Z. Otwinowski, & W. Minor *Methods in Enzymology, Macromolecular Crystallography, Part A*, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, Academic Press, 276, (1997), 307-326.

- 335 29. A. Altomare, M. C. Burla, M. Camalli, G. L. Cascarano, C. Giacovazzo, A.
336 Guagliardi, A. G. G. Moliterni, G. Polidori, & R. J. Spagna, *Appl. Cryst*, 32, (1999),
337 115–119.
- 338 30. G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL97. University of Gottingen, Germany, (1997).
- 339 31. L. J. J. Farrugia, *Appl. Cryst*, 32, (1999), 837–838.
- 340 32. M. C. Etter, *J. Phys.chem.*, 95, (1991), 4601.
- 341 33. J. Wang, Xiang, A. Wu, and X. Meng, *Cryst Eng Comm.*, 15, (2013), 10079–
342 10085.
- 343 34. S. Gomathi and P. T. Muthiah, *Acta Crys.*, 69, (2013), 1235.
- 344 35. I. Ahamad, R. Prasad, M. A. Quraishi, *Mater. Chem. Phys.* (2010),
345 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.matchemphys.2010.08.051>.
- 346 36. M. Bouklah, H. Harek, R. Touzani, B. Hammouti, Y. Harek, *Arab. J. Chem.* (2010),
347 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arabjc.2010.08.008>.
- 348 37. N. O. Obi-Egbedi, I. B. Obot, *Corros. Sci.* (2010), [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2010.09.020)
349 [j.corsci.2010.09.020](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2010.09.020).
- 350 38. M. S. Masoud, M. K. Awad, M. A. Shaker, M. M. T. El-Tahawy, *Corros. Sci.* 52
351 (2010) 2387–2396.
- 352 39. I. Sidir, Y. G. Sidir, M. Kumalar, E. Tasal, *J. Mol. Struct.* 964 (2010), 134–138.