



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_35033
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical and antimicrobial studies of Maprounea membranacea Pax & K. Hoffm (Euphorbiaceae)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Did authors conduct the minimum inhibitory cementation assay</b></p> <p><b>Why did authors study only 2 strains of bacteria?</b></p> <p><b>It is better to have both gram negative and positive bacteria can you come to conclusion using only 2 strains?</b></p> <p><b>If you could make the graph clearer it is better</b></p> <p><b>Line 139 – delete the word “germ”, which is not very scientific</b></p>	<p>We did the minimum inhibitory concentration assay since some concentration s</p> <p>Three strains of bacteria were used in this study namely <b><i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> as gram-positive</b> and <b><i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>, <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i></b> as gram-negative (see section 2.4.1 and Table 1)</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		