



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_34599
Title of the Manuscript:	IR spectroscopic studies on Hofmann-danon-type clathrates: M(1,9-diaminononane)Ni(CN) ₄ .G [M = Co, Ni or Cd; G =nitrobenzene (NB)]
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The authors presented IR spectroscopic studies on Hofmann-danon-type clathrates: However the study is interested, there some points should be considered. 1- Why the authors choose these metal centers? 2-In table one author should clearly indicate which elemental analysis data is found and which is calculated. 3-the author should make a comparison with reported compounds in the literature. 4- Finally, please revise the language of the manuscript carefully.	Thank you Sir. Your comments are more useful to revise the article in a better way. 1) Because of best coordinating ability. We are trying with other transition metals. 2) Corrected in the Table. 3) compared with literature data. 4) yes, revised the language.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		