

# DETECTION OF PLASMID-MEDIATED *AmpC* BETA-LACTAMASE ENZYME AMONG *Escherichia coli* ISOLATES IN LIVESTOCK, SOUTH NIGERIA.

## ABSTRACT

AmpC beta-lactamases are bacterial enzymes that hydrolyse third generation extended spectrum cephalosporins and cephamycins engendering resistance to these categories of antibiotic and is a serious threat to the currently available antibiotic armory both in human and veterinary medicine. In this study, the detection of AmpC beta-lactamase-producing *E. coli* in some common livestock animals was studied. A total of 196 faecal samples were aseptically collected from cattle, chicken, goat and swine from different parts of Uyo Metropolis into sterile universal containers. Samples were processed by inoculating onto macConkey agar using streak plate technique and incubated at 37°C for 18-24 hours after which growth were identified using standard identification procedures. Susceptibility profile of each of the identified *E. coli* isolate to some antibiotics was determined using the agar disk diffusion method. Resistant *E. coli* isolates to third and fourth generation cephalosporins were screened to detect ESBL producers using the modified double disk synergy test while AmpC beta-lactamase production was done by the modified disk test. The result shows that out of a total number of 123 *E. coli* isolates, 55.68% were potential ESBL producers while 30.68% were confirmed to be AmpC producers. The highest percentage of 5.37% came from Chicken, while the least percentage of 3.23% was from Pig and Goat respectively. The result of this study shows the presence of AmpC beta-lactamase producing *E. coli* in all the groups of animal tested. Therefore, improved surveillance of antibiotic use and antibiotic-resistant bacteria in farm animals should be given an urgent attention. Application of biosecurity and hygiene programs in the livestock breeding sector should be considered as a favorable effect on the restriction transfer of antibiotic resistance.

**Keywords:** Detection, ESBL, AmpC, *Escherichia coli*, Livestock

## INTRODUCTION

Antibiotic resistance among microorganisms is a major problem, both in human and in the livestock industry. The persistent exposure of bacterial strains to a multitude of  $\beta$ -lactams antibiotics has induced dynamic and continuous production and mutation of certain enzymes in these bacteria, thereby expanding their activity against the newly developed  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics. These enzymes are known as extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamases (ESBL)<sup>1,2</sup>. This problem is further compounded by the over-expression of another type of enzyme that preferentially hydrolyzes narrow-, broad-, and expanded-spectrum cephalosporins and cephamycins. They are also capable of resisting inhibition by clavulanate, sulbactam, and tazobactam. These enzymes are referred to as AmpC  $\beta$ -lactamases. AmpC  $\beta$ -lactamases are clinically important cephalosporinases encoded on the chromosome of many

48 *Enterobacteriaceae* and a few other organisms where they mediate resistance to cephalothin,  
49 cefazolin, cefoxitin, most penicillins, and  $\beta$ -lactamase inhibitor/ $\beta$ -lactam combinations. In  
50 many bacteria, AmpC enzymes are inducible and can be expressed at high levels by mutation.  
51 Over-expression confers resistance to broad-spectrum cephalosporins including cefotaxime,  
52 ceftazidime, and ceftriaxone. Transmissible plasmids have acquired genes for AmpC  
53 enzymes, which consequently can now appear in bacteria lacking or poorly expressing a  
54 chromosomal *blaAmpC* gene, such as *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Proteus*  
55 *mirabilis*. AmpC enzymes encoded by both chromosomal and plasmid genes are also  
56 evolving to hydrolyze broad-spectrum cephalosporins more efficiently.

57 Many clinical laboratories currently test *Escherichia coli* for production of extended-  
58 spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamases (ESBLs) but do not attempt to detect plasmid-mediated AmpC  $\beta$ -  
59 lactamases probably because the available phenotypic tests are either inconvenient,  
60 subjective, or require reagents that are not readily available<sup>3,4</sup>.

61 In Nigeria livestock industry, the occurrence of  $\beta$ -lactamase-producing *E. coli* has been  
62 broadly recognized in veterinary medicine, e.g. as causative agents for mastitis in dairy  
63 cattle<sup>5</sup>. This problem is becoming very rampant, because they are often encountered in routine  
64 diagnoses of disease conditions brought for confirmatory diagnosis in microbiology  
65 diagnostic units of some Tertiary Veterinary Teaching Hospitals<sup>5</sup>. There are only few studies  
66 in South-South Nigeria that investigated the prevalence of  $\beta$ -lactamase-producing bacteria in  
67 livestock. The risk of zoonotic transfer from livestock to people with close contact to these  
68 animals is still largely unknown, but some studies have implicated a transfer of ESBL-  
69 producing *E. coli* or ESBL genes from poultry or pigs to farm workers<sup>6,7</sup>. Besides this direct  
70 zoonotic transfer, other routes as foods of animal origin may be a risk factor for human  
71 colonization or infection<sup>8</sup>. It is therefore this potential transfer of extended spectrum  $\beta$ -  
72 lactamases from animal pathogens to strains that could pose a risk for human health that is  
73 among the most important challenges arising from the global problem with antimicrobial  
74 resistance.

75 Therefore, the aims and objectives of this study is to detect the presence of AmpC  $\beta$ -  
76 lactamase enzyme among *E. coli* resistant isolates obtained from Cattle, Goat, Poultry and  
77 Swine.

78  
79

## 80 MATERIALS AND METHODS

81 **Collection of Samples:** A total of 196 fresh faecal samples from different parts of Uyo  
82 Metropolis in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria were randomly and aseptically collected from cattle,  
83 chicken, goat and swine into sterile universal containers from January 2017 to March 2017.  
84 They were transported to Medical Microbiology and Parasitology laboratory of the  
85 University of Uyo Teaching Hospital and stored at 4°C until when required for processing.

86 **Processing of Samples:** One gram of the faecal samples was emulsified in 5ml of sterile  
87 saline before inoculating by streak plate method on MacConkey agar (Oxoid, UK) and  
88 incubated at 37°C for 18–24 hours after which they were examined for growth. From the  
89 growth pattern of the organisms observed on the agar plate, distinct smooth, glossy rose-pink  
90 lactose fermenting colonies presumed to be *E.coli* were selected and subjected to  
91 identification procedures according to standard taxonomic identification schemes of Cowan<sup>9</sup>.

## 92 Determination of Antimicrobial Susceptibility Profile

93 The susceptibility profile of each of the identified *E.coli* isolates to some selected antibiotics  
94 was determined using the agar disk diffusion method as per the recommendation of Clinical  
95 and Laboratory Standards Institute, CLSI<sup>10</sup>. The following antibiotics were used;  
96 cefotaxime(30 $\mu$ g), cefpodoxime(30 $\mu$ g), ceftriaxone(30 $\mu$ g), ceftazidime(30 $\mu$ g),

97 cefepime(30µg), cephoxitin(30µg), Gentamicin(10µg), ciprofloxacin(5µg),  
98 norfloxacin(10µg), nitrofurantoin(100µg), cotrimoxazole(25µg), and imipenem(10µg). All  
99 the antibiotic discs were procured from Oxoid. The bacterial inoculum was prepared by  
100 suspending freshly grown bacteria in 5ml of sterile peptone water. The suspension was  
101 adjusted to achieve a turbidity equivalent to 0.5 McFarland turbidity standards after which the  
102 inoculated peptone water was poured onto Mueller Hinton (MH) agar plates and the excess  
103 drained out. The plates were allowed to dry and appropriate antibiotic disks were aseptically  
104 placed on the agar plate surface using sterile forceps. The plates were then incubated at 37°C  
105 for 18-24hours. Diameter of zone of inhibition was determined using the Kirby Bauer test  
106 method as described by Willey *et al*<sup>11</sup>.

107 **Chromogenic Agar culture:** Few colonies of the *E. coli* isolates that exhibited resistance to  
108 third and fourth generation cephalosporins were homogenized in 1ml of sterile physiological  
109 saline (0.85%), and 50µl aliquots of the resulting suspension were inoculated onto  
110 chromogenic ESBL-Bx agar which was prepared from the dehydrated medium according to  
111 the manufacturer's instructions and incubated in ambient air at 37°C for 18 to 24h. After the  
112 optimal incubation period, specific coloration enhanced by the chromogenic media indicates  
113 the presence of ESBL production.

114

#### 115 **Screening for Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamase Production**

116 The *E. coli* isolates that exhibited ESBL production and resistance to third and fourth  
117 generation cephalosporins were further screened by the modified double disk synergy test to  
118 detect ESBL producers.

119 **Modified Double Disc Synergy Test:** This was performed by using amoxicillin-clavulanate  
120 (20/10µg) disc along with four cephalosporins; third generation-cefotaxime, ceftriaxone,  
121 cefpodoxime and fourth generation-cefepime. Briefly, the test isolates were cultured on a  
122 Mueller-Hinton agar plate. A disc which contained amoxicillin-clavulanate (20/10µg) was  
123 placed in the centre of the plate. The discs of cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, and cefpodoxime, were  
124 placed 15mm and that of cefepime, 20mm apart, centre to centre to that of the amoxicillin-  
125 clavulanate disc (Paterson and Bonomo, 2005). Any distortion or increase in the inhibition  
126 zone towards the disc of amoxicillin-clavulanate was considered positive for ESBL  
127 production.

128 **AmpC Enzyme Production:** Isolates that exhibited significant synergistic effect with only  
129 cefepime in the modified double disc synergy test (MDDST) were further tested for AmpC  
130 enzyme production using the modified disc test. The test is based on the use of Tris-EDTA to  
131 permeabilize a bacterial cell and release β-lactamases into the external environment. This was  
132 done as modified by Kaur *et al*<sup>12</sup>. Briefly, sterile plain 6mm disks were punched from  
133 Whatmann filter paper and AmpC disks prepared by applying 20µl of a 1:1 mixture of saline  
134 and Tris-EDTA to the disks. The disks were allowed to dry, and were stored at between 2 to  
135 8°C. Suspension of standard *E. coli* ATCC 25922 equivalents to 0.5 McFarland turbidity  
136 standards was prepared and inoculated on a Mueller-Hinton agar plate. A 30µg cephoxitin  
137 disc was placed on the inoculated agar surface.

138 Prior to use, the prepared AmpC disks were rehydrated with 20µl of saline before being  
139 inoculated with several colonies of the test isolates. This was placed beside the cephoxitin  
140 disc and the plates incubated at 37°C for 18-24 hours. The plates were examined for either an  
141 indentation or a flattening of the zone of inhibition, which indicates the enzyme inactivation  
142 of cephoxitin as a positive result, or absence of distortion, indicating no significant  
143 inactivation of cephoxitin as negative result.

144

145

## 146 RESULTS

147 A total of 196 faecal samples obtained from 4 different livestock were collected and analyzed  
148 out of which 23.98% were obtained from cattle, 26.53% from chicken and goat respectively  
149 while 22.96% were from pig (Table 1). One hundred and twenty three *E. coli* isolates were  
150 harvested out of the total faecal samples analysed. Samples obtained from cattle and chicken  
151 each yielded 13.27% and 18.87% respectively, those obtained from goat yielded 16.33%  
152 while 14.29% were from samples obtained from Pig making a total of 62.76%. Of the 123 *E.*  
153 *coli* isolates obtained, 71.5% exhibited resistance to third and fourth generation  
154 cephalosporins. On further testing for ESBL production by the Modified Double Disc  
155 Synergy test (MDDST), 55.68% were ESBL producers out of which 12.5% were positive for  
156 AmpC co-production by the AmpC disc test while the remaining 43.18% were only ESBL  
157 producers. The highest percentage of 4.55% was however seen among isolates obtained from  
158 Pig followed by 3.41% from Chicken while Goat and Cattle each yielded 2.27% respectively  
159 (Table 2).

## 160 DISCUSSION

161 Extended Spectrum Beta-Lactamases (ESBLs) constitute a serious threat to the  $\beta$ -lactam  
162 therapy. Resistance against  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotics is increasingly being reported and is on the  
163 rise in *Enterobacteriaceae* from both humans and animals. Due to the difficulty in their  
164 detection by the current clinical methods available in most laboratories, many of these strains  
165 have been falsely reported to be susceptible to the widely used broad-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactams<sup>13</sup>.

166 The result of this study shows the presence of ESBL producing *E. coli* in all the group of  
167 animals tested. A study conducted in Germany sought the presence of ESBL-producing *E.*  
168 *coli* in different dairy cattle, beef cattle and mixed farms (both dairy and beef). The results  
169 showed a high prevalence of different types of ESBLs<sup>14</sup>. The first reports of ESBL-producing  
170 bacteria from poultry were performed in Spain. *E. coli* strains isolated from faecal samples of  
171 healthy and sick poultry were found to harbor various types of ESBL genes<sup>15</sup>. Similarly,  
172 antimicrobial resistance in commensal *Enterobacteriaceae* from pigs were also confirmed in  
173 some Danish farms, where some ESBL producing *E. coli* strains were recovered from faeces  
174 of pigs<sup>16</sup>. Generally, animals and birds represent potential sources of spread of multidrug-  
175 resistant bacteria. This is as a result of the fact that the ESBL-encoding genes are often  
176 carried on plasmids, which can easily be transferred between isolates, bearing additional  
177 resistance determinants for other classes of antimicrobial agents, mainly fluoroquinolones,  
178 aminoglycosides and sulfonamides, contributing to the multidrug-resistant phenotype.

179 This study also indicates that out of the 123 *E. coli* isolates obtained, 55.68% were ESBL  
180 producers while 12.5% possess additional ability for AmpC production. This is in agreement  
181 with Kaur *et al*<sup>12</sup> who got 63.4% ESBL producers out of which 5.4% were AmpC producers.  
182 In this study, AmpC production has the highest prevalence of 4.55% among isolates obtained  
183 from Pig. This may be related to the use of antibiotics in pig production as previously shown  
184 by Jorgensen *et al*<sup>16</sup> who, in their earlier study, confirmed that the use of beta-lactam  
185 antibiotics, especially cephalosporins, might be one of the factors for the selection of  
186 ESBL/AmpC-producing bacteria in pigs. Also Carattoli *et al*<sup>17</sup> demonstrated that certain beta-  
187 lactams, including amoxicillin, used in pig production select for *bla*CTX-M-producing *E. coli*  
188 strains in the intestinal flora of pigs. In addition, non-beta-lactam antibiotics might play a role  
189 in the selection of beta-lactamase genes. The need for ESBL testing in the AmpC-producing  
190 species of *Enterobacteriaceae* cannot be over-emphasized. In the presence of AmpC, along  
191 with ESBL in the gram negative organisms, the DDST may not show positivity, as the AmpC  
192 type of  $\beta$ -lactamase inhibits the action of clavulanate. Hence, it obscures the synergistic effect

193 of clavulanic acid and the third generation cephalosporins which are used. The possible  
194 approaches for overcoming the difficulty in the ESBL detection in the presence of AmpC  
195 include the use of tazobactam or sulbactam, which are much less likely to induce the AmpC  
196  $\beta$ -lactamases and are therefore the preferable inhibitors of the ESBL detection tests with these  
197 organisms or testing cefepime as an ESBL detection agent<sup>18</sup>.

198 Unusually high incidence of ESBLs should be a cause of concern to the regulators of the  
199 antibiotic policy. Nowadays, over reliance on third generation cephalosporins to treat gram  
200 negative infections is one of the prime factors responsible for increased resistance to this  
201 class of antibiotics.

202 Generally, intensive application of antibiotics in livestock husbandry increases the abundance  
203 of extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in animals and in  
204 consequence in their manure<sup>19</sup>. This is confirmed by the presence of ESBL- and AmpC-  
205 producing *E. coli* in manure from livestock husbandry that was reported by Hartmann *et al*<sup>20</sup>  
206 and Snow *et al*<sup>21</sup>. The problem remains that even though the occurrence of ESBL-producing  
207 bacteria has been broadly recognized in veterinary medicine, as causative agents for different  
208 infections in dairy cattle<sup>15</sup>, only a few studies exist which investigate the prevalence of  
209 ESBL- and AmpC producing bacteria in Nigerian livestock, showing their existence in sick  
210 and healthy cattle, pig and poultry farms<sup>5</sup>. This confirms the fact that the risk of zoonotic  
211 transfer from livestock to people with close contact to these animals is still largely unknown.  
212 However, some studies have implicated a transfer of ESBL producing *E. coli* or ESBL genes  
213 from poultry or pigs to farm workers<sup>6,7</sup>. One of the greatest challenges in the routine  
214 susceptibility test done by clinical laboratories is that it normally fails to detect ESBL  
215 positive strains hence the phenotypic confirmatory test is highly indispensable in the  
216 detection ESBLs.

217 One important fact remains that normal but resistant bacterial microflora in animals and other  
218 zoonotic intestinal bacteria could infect humans more frequently through direct contact and  
219 also, through animal foodstuffs. These resistant bacteria species could also colonize humans  
220 and transfer genes of resistance to other members of the bacterial normal microflora. They  
221 could provoke infections and could also be regarded as a main reservoir of resistance genes.  
222 Therefore, the rapid dissemination of resistance genes via mobile gene elements increases the  
223 risk and creates prerequisites for more complications from a therapeutic point of view, with  
224 special emphasis on professional groups associated with animal care, farmers, veterinarians  
225 at farms, slaughterhouse workers and other people engaged in animal foodstuff processing.

226

## 227 CONCLUSION

228 Improved surveillance of antibiotic use and antibiotic-resistant bacteria in farm animals is a  
229 serious issue that requires an urgent attention. Therefore, some important initiatives have to  
230 be taken in this regard especially in relation to antibiotic sales data. Countries should  
231 routinely monitor levels of antibiotic resistance in farm animals and on retail meat as most of  
232 them represents data for monitoring programs for antimicrobial resistance. International  
233 governments require cooperation to establish an international antimicrobial resistance  
234 surveillance monitoring program and monitor the antimicrobial resistance trends in human  
235 and animals for a long time. Both the benefit and risk outcomes of this exercise should be  
236 considered into the risk assessment and management. On the other hand, application of  
237 biosecurity and hygiene programs in intensive sector of livestock breeding would be a  
238 favorable effect on the restriction transfer of antibiotic resistance. Finally, to find a good  
239 strategy to control antimicrobial resistance, it is necessary to consider the chemotherapeutic  
240 medicine, microbiology and agricultural environment and fully understand molecular basis  
241 involved in the emergence of antimicrobial resistance.

242 **REFERENCES**

- 243 1. Pitout, J. D. D, Laupland K. B. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing  
244 Enterobacteriaceae: an emerging public-health concern. *Lancet Infectious Diseases*.  
245 2008; 8(3):159–66.  
246
- 247 2. Paterson, D. L., Bonomo, R. A. Extended spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamases: a clinical update.  
248 *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2005; 18(4):657-86  
249
- 250 3. Nasim, K., Elsayed, S., Pitout, J. D., Conly, J., Church, D. L., and  
251 Gregson, D. B. (2004). New method for laboratory detection of AmpC  $\beta$ -lactamases  
252 in *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 42: 4799–4802  
253
- 254 4. Black, J. A., K. S. Thomson, and J. D. Pitout. (2004). Use of  $\beta$ -lactamase  
255 inhibitors in disk tests to detect plasmid-mediated AmpC  $\beta$ -lactamases. *J. Clin.*  
256 *Microbiol.* 42:2203–2206.  
257  
258
- 259 5. Ogunleye, A. O., Oyekunle, M. A. and Sonibare, A. O. Multidrug resistant  
260 *Escherichia coli* isolates of poultry origin in Abeokuta, South Western Nigeria,  
261 *Veterinarski Arhiv*, vol. 78, no. 6, pp. 501–509, 2008.  
262
- 263 6. Dierikx, C., van der Goot, J., Fabri, T., van Essen-Zandbergen, A., Smith, H., Mevius,  
264 D. (2013). Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase- and AmpC-beta-lactamase-producing  
265 *Escherichia coli* in Dutch broilers and broiler farmers. *J Antimicrob Chemother*;  
266 68(1):60–7.  
267
- 268 7. Huijbers, P. M., Graat, E. A., Haenen, A. P., van Santen, M. G., van Essen-  
269 Zandbergen, A., Mevius, D. J, *et al* ( 2014). Extended-spectrum and AmpC beta-  
270 lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* in broilers and people living and/or working on  
271 broiler farms: prevalence, risk factors and molecular characteristics. *J Antimicrob*  
272 *Chemother*; 69(10):2669–75.  
273
- 274 8. Leistner, R., Meyer, E., Gastmeier, P., Pfeifer, Y., Eller, C., Dem, P., *et al*. Risk  
275 factors associated with the community-acquired colonization of extended-spectrum  
276 beta-lactamase (ESBL) positive *Escherichia Coli*. An exploratory case-control study.  
277 *PLoS One*. 2013; 8(9):e74323.  
278
- 279 9. Cowan, S.T., Steel, K.J., 1985. *Manual for the Identification of Medical Bacteria (4th*  
280 *Edition)*. Cambridge University Press. London. 217p.  
281
- 282 10. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2010. Performance standards for  
283 antimicrobial susceptibility testing; 20th informational supplement. M100–S20.  
284 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.  
285
- 286 11. Willey. M, Sherwood. M, Woolverton. J. (2011). Mechanisms of multidrug resistance  
287 in bacteria. *Prescott, Harley and Klein's microbiology*. 8<sup>th</sup> edn 849-853.  
288

- 289 12. Kaur, M., Aggarwal, A. Occurrence of the CTX-M, SHV and the TEM Genes among  
290 the Extended Spectrum beta-Lactamase Producing Isolates of Enterobacteriaceae in a  
291 Tertiary Care Hospital of North India. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2013; 7(4): 642–645.  
292
- 293 13. MacKenzie, F. M, Miller, F. M. C. A, Gould, I. M. Comparison of screening methods  
294 for TEM and SHV derived ESBL detection. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2002; 8:715-24.  
295
- 296 14. Schmid, A., Hormansdorfer, S., Messelhauser, U., Kasbohrer, A., Sauter-Louis, C.,  
297 Mansfeld, R. Prevalence of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia*  
298 *coli* on bavarian dairy and beef cattle farms. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2013;  
299 79(9):3027–32.  
300
- 301 15. Brinas, L., Moreno, M. A., Zarazaga, M., Porrero, C., Saenz, Y., Garcia, M., *et al.*  
302 (2003). Detection of CMY-2, CTX-M-14, and SHV-12 beta-lactamases in  
303 *Escherichia coli* fecal-sample isolates from healthy chickens. *Antimicrob Agents*  
304 *Chemother*; 47(6): 2056–8.  
305
- 306 16. Jorgensen, C.J., Cavac, o L.M., Hasman, H., Emborg, H.D., Guardabassi, L.:  
307 Occurrence of CTX-M-1 producing *E. coli* in pigs treated with ceftiofur. *J.*  
308 *Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* 2007, 59, 1040-1042.  
309
- 310 17. Carattoli A. Animal reservoirs for extended spectrum beta-lactamase producers. *Clin*  
311 *Microbiol Infect.* 2008;14:117–23.  
312
- 313 18. Manchanda, V., Singh, N. P. Occurrence and detection of AmpC  $\beta$ -lactamases among  
314 gram negative clinical isolates using a modified three-dimensional test at Guru Tegh  
315 Bhadur Hospital, Delhi, India. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2003; 51:415-18.  
316
- 317 19. Friese, A., Schulz, J., Laube, H., von Salviati, C., Hartung, J., Roesler, U.. (2013).  
318 Faecal occurrence and emissions of livestock-associated methicillin-resistant  
319 *Staphylococcus aureus* (laMRSA) and ESBL/AmpC-producing from animal farms in  
320 Germany. *Berl Munch Tierarztl Wochenschr*; 126(3–4): 175–180.  
321
- 322 20. Hartmann, A., Locatelli, A., Amoureux, L., Depret, G., Jolivet, C., Gueneau, E, *et al.*,  
323 (2012). Occurrence of CTX-M producing *Escherichia coli* in soils, cattle, and farm  
324 environment in France (Burgundy Region). *Front Microbiol*; 3: 83.  
325
- 326 21. Snow, L. C., Warner, R. G., Cheney, T., Wearing, H., Stokes, M., Harris, K, *et al.*  
327 (2012). Risk factors associated with extended spectrum beta-lactamase *Escherichia*  
328 *coli* (CTX-M) on dairy farms in North West England and North Wales. *Prev Vet Med*;  
329 106(3–4): 225–234.  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337

338 **Table 1: Sources of *Escherichia coli* Isolates**

339	<b>Source of Sample</b>	<b>No. of Samples collected</b>	<b>No.(%) of <i>E. coli</i> isolated</b>
341	Cattle	35	18(13.27)
342	Chicken	40	29(18.87)
343	Goat	40	25(16.33)
344	Pig	33	21(14.29)
345	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>196</b>	<b>123(62.76)</b>

346

347

348

349 **Table 2: ESBL and *AmpC* producing *E. coli* Isolates (n=88)**

350	<b>Source of ESBL producing isolates</b>	<b>No.(%) of potential ESBL producing isolates</b>	<b>No.(%) of ESBL &amp; AmpC producing isolates</b>	<b>No.(%) of only producing isolates</b>
351	Cattle	10(11.36)	2(2.27)	8(9.09)
352	Chicken	13(14.78)	3(3.41)	10(11.36)
353	Goat	10(11.36)	2(2.27)	6(6.82)
354	Pig	16(18.18)	4(4.55)	14(15.91)
355	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>49 (55.68)</b>	<b>11(12.5)</b>	<b>38(43.18)</b>

356

357

358