



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_38911
Title of the Manuscript:	A study on the population scenario of Indian Sarus crane (Grus antigone antigone) in and around Alwara Lake of District Kaushambi (U.P.), India
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The main goal of the study is to count the population of Grus antigone antigone, an endangered species. A comparison is made with previous studies conducted at the same site. More information on the species could be inserted in the introduction, as the authors cite a study that did a detailed review, this would contribute to increase the quality of the text. The goal should be rewritten. In materials and methods on lines 85-86, authors should provide more information on the methods adopted for counting. The results should be rewritten, see comments in the text. The discussion can also be improved, comments in the text may help the authors explain their results. The first paragraph of the conclusion is not a conclusion of the study and should be excluded. I recommend that the authors read all the comments made in the text.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lucilene Inês Jacoboski
Department, University & Country	Biosciences Institute, Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil