



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_38202
Title of the Manuscript:	Hepatoprotective effect of ginseng, green tea, cinnamon their combination against acetamiprid - induced oxidative stress in rats
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Ethical issue:</b>  Since author did trial on the animal please provide ethical clearance number	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<b>Major revision (Further details please refer attachment)</b>  <b>Materials and Methods</b> <b>Issues:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Add/state reference number for animal ethic clearance</li> <li>- State the dosage given for ginseng, green tea, cinnamon and mixture</li> <li>- Give a reason why you select these 2 times point (15 and 30 days)</li> <li>- Mention/explain what type of food given to control and recovery groups</li> <li>- How you collect blood sample (via???)</li> <li>- Mention the type of statistic used in the study.</li> </ul> <b>Results</b> <b>Issues:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- It is good if you can explain/elaborate the results (which one was increased/decreased in detail) and not just depended on the tables.</li> <li>- Should mention by comparing the results for day 15 and day 30 after treatment for each group (increase/decrease or similar) for tables</li> </ul> <b>Discussion</b>  <b>Issues:</b>  <b>AA = stand for??</b>  <b>References</b> <b>Please standardised</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Some errors on the way you write the references  Eg. In sentences (Mondal <i>et al.</i> , 2009) or Mondal et al. (2009)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Che Norma Mat Taib</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Department of Human Anatomy, Universiti Pura Malaysia, Malaysia</b>