



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_43268
Title of the Manuscript:	Unacknowledged Potential Factors in Catastrophic Bee and Insect Die-off Arising from Coal Fly Ash Geoengineering
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The idea of the manuscript is good however, the work done, and the data not support this hypothesis. I felt lost after I read this assembly of data. Methods are weak and to be clarified and brought much details about the laboratory work. No statistical analyses. Discussion can be replaced by literature review of the topic and there is no discussion at all. A lot of information needs to be confirmed by good references.	Thanks for the review. We made extensive revisions including additional references, which should make the subject more understandable. More complete descriptions of the experimental data are described in their original cited publications. Again, thanks.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		