



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_41418
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of genetic diversity among the five species of Calamus by RAPD markers.
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	For any plant scientific name either you put <i>Calamus huegelianus</i> or <i>C. huegelianus</i>, Keep it uniform . Line 19- Space in <i>C.prasinus</i> , Line 72-Description of Fig 1 , line 87- garden(Fig.1) remove space, Line 94- et al. In italics, Line 100- 94o C Remove space, each: each -remove duplicate word, line 112-113- Per cent remove space, Line 124 125 127 128- Remove space, line 149- <i>C.huegelianus</i> and <i>C.thwaitesii</i> remove space, fig 3 & 4-description, line 224-remove space <i>C.vattayila</i>, line 230 241-et al. Italics.	For any plant scientific name, the genus name has to be written full for the first cite in the text followed by abbreviations. Same format has been followed throughout the manuscript. Genus name, <i>Calamus</i> (<i>Calamus huegelianus</i>) is not abbreviated in page 1, line 12, since it is first time cited in the text. Rectified Description is incorporated Rectified As per the format of the journal, et al. is not in italics. Rectified One 'each' is removed As per the dictionary, Per cent is two ward Rectified Description is incorporated Rectified As per the format of the journal, et al. is not in italics
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Overall article is having good quality of work.	