



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_41060
Title of the Manuscript:	Preliminary Assessment and Status of Fauna Species Diversity in Ipinu Igede Community Range Forest in Oju Local Government of Benue State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The main title is still not casting the main sketch of the study and is not catchier as well. It also goes beyond the mandatory limit of seventeen words. So rephrase it within the required limit of 17 words 2. Provide a suitable short title/ running head of 7-9 words only for the research paper that must be the punch line of the original title. 3. Keep the number of words in the abstract no more than 250 words 4. The conclusions of the study are not related to the results of the study, please be clarified..... 5. The status of endemic and protected fauna is not discussed 6. Keywords must be 5-6 unique and meaningful words chosen from the abstract of the study not from other sections of the article. That should capture the essence of your research topic and concise summary of current article 7. Linked abstract conclusions with the introduction ...please be associated with the title of the study 8. Make Significance statement is missing. Provide it as it clarifies what is outreached of study and how it would be beneficial for researchers. 9. At the end of the Discussion, author is advised to describe the recommendations, applications, limitations and future implications of this research work in the last passage. 10. Recast the conclusion section up to the 5 lines as per journal format 11. At the end of the Discussion, author is advised to describe the recommendations, applications, limitations and future implications of this research work in the last passage. 12. whether detected fauna species found in the site and surrounding areas as fauna of endemic species, protected, fauna dlay katergi CITES (fauna of the International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora) or fauna on IUCN extinction status, all wildlife included in IUCN categories, IUCN (International Union for Conservation of Nature), should be more noticed 	<p>1.ok, the title has been adjusted</p> <p>- All other corrections pointed out are addressed,.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. fig 6: replaced with graph 6 2. plate 6: replaced with fig 6 	Sir, i have only Fig 1 and 2. And plates 1 to 6. Both are independent of the other.
Optional/General comments	Please Repair In Accordance With The Repair Instructions	