



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_41044
Title of the Manuscript:	Induction of resistance in poplar to Melampsora larici-populina using L-form bacteria
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The method part lacks details, such as what was the experimental design? What statistical model was used? What was the significance cut-off? The authors mentioned how many leaf discs were included in the experiment, but failed to mention the number of plants. How the rooted cuttings were propagated?	Added some missing areas according to the author's comments
Minor REVISION comments	Page 71: an extra period in "Britain.. The". Page 76: "imperfect" does not seem like a good choice of word here in "Imperfect maturation". Page 79-80: double check grammar. Page 104: space missing in "clone'Vereecken". Page 154: the subtitle is confusing: "Association of B. subtilis L-forms with poplar stems" Page 168-189: this sentence is confusing: "The pustules on each leaf disc were counted (three replicates) daily and the number of pustules per leaf disc was calculated." The same item was counted twice? Page 177-178: formatting error, space missing in "Ferguson et al.,(" Page 177: formatting error in "months old Poplar plants"	Done.
Optional/General comments		