



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_39791
Title of the Manuscript:	Germination Performance and Vigour of Pepper Seeds Stored in Different Environmental Conditions at different Storage Periods
Type of the Article	Short Communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article was written fairly weak. Not only method but also results isn't clearly and incomprehensible. The article hasn't discussion part. Besides them conclusion part haven't any clear result. Tables designed so complex.	Thank you for your comments. I have tried to revise as suggested however I have some comments also for instance, in line 67 the days to anthesis was not taken since it has no relationship with factors under consideration. In line 69 drying at this temperature was based on the recommendation of Gowda, et al. 1990. In line 117 : the numbers were the lower and upper limit readings taken using sensors. It was revised in line 75. In line 174 these were mean germination of main sources of variation. Mean squares for the interactive effects were not significant hence no need for mean separation.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		