

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_38911
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A study on the population scenario of Indian Sarus crane (<i>Grus antigone antigone</i>) in and around Alwara Lake of District Kaushambi (U.P.), India</b>
Type of the Article	RESEARCH ARTICLE

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The paper is meaningful. However, data is much insufficient. Please accept it after the following suggestions are strictly carried out.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. In the first place, the authors deserve appreciation for focussing attention on Saras Crane.</li><li>2. The data is very insufficient.</li><li>3. provide the dates of your various visits in a tabulated form!</li><li>4. Explain, how you have derived the correlation ship</li><li>5. Retrieve the climate data for one year (2016) and then discuss the relationships of member of populations as obtained by you.</li><li>6. The author must realise the fact that just one field visit is incapable of mirroring the exact position of saras population of alwara.</li></ol>	<p>Thanks for appreciation.</p> <p>I am giving data in detail.</p> <p>OK</p> <p>I will present co relationship in separate paper.</p> <p>Yes. Actually study is based on several days of work but only one day is mentioned in text during which maximum crane was counted. I agree and will expand the matter.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		