



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_38911
Title of the Manuscript:	A study on the population scenario of Indian Sarus crane (Grus antigone antigone) in and around Alwara Lake of District Kaushambi (U.P.), India
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>It is evident from the manuscript that it is based only on 5 days observation in 5 years. Scientifically it is wrong to analyse data in such format. Authors cannot conclude in low data about the species status in any area.</p> <p>It is mentioned in the conclusion that a positive correlation is found in Sarus crane number and agricultural fields and wetland but in the result section it is missing.</p> <p>A standard methodology should have been followed and population density with other parameters such as male, female, juvenile should have been analysed.</p> <p>There is no data on openness of habitat but it was mentioned in the MS.</p> <p>It seems from photographs that it is a good habitat for Sarus crane but authors could not communicate in impressive way in MS.</p>	<p>No; actually study is based on several days of work but only one day is mentioned in text during which maximum crane was counted. I agree and will expand the matter.</p> <p>I will present it in separate paper.</p> <p>Since sarus crane is a huge bird hence no special methodology is required for its counting. Male, female and juvenile have been analysed separately and will be presented in some other paper.</p> <p>I will present it in separate paper along with agricultural correlation.</p> <p>I will try my best.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Single data has been presented in two formats i.e. table and graph. Present it in single form.	Ok but it develops more clear-cut conception.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Avoid hindi words such as "chappu" in ms.	Agreed but it can be mentioned in italics.