



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_38023
Title of the Manuscript:	Biological activity of Cupressus sempervirens extracts against Musca domestica
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>Dear Editor, I see that the authors make the corrections only in abstract and references. But needs to correct the follow aspects:</p> <p>a) Introduction: to create one paragraph with a brief review of the biological aspects of Cupressus sempervirens.</p> <p>b) Material and Methods: To define the site of plant origin, climate data, part of the plant used for the extracts;</p> <p>c) To include some chemical characterization of the extracts;</p> <p>d) To explicit the name of solvent used to suspend to the solutions in know concentrations used in experiments;</p> <p>e) Results and discussion: the graphs and the tables are not self-explain (explain the abbreviations);</p> <p>f) The histograms need to be express in 2D;</p> <p>g) The authors not show any number of agreements by ethical committee. They need ethical clearance for these harmless data collection/application because, according Lockwood (1988) the flies could feel pain so these animals deserve ethical obligations. In addition, the authors are using plants extracts with medical applications (pest control) and the idea of this application could be originate from indigenous medical knowledge, and this is an ethical issue (Elisabetsky, 1991). Therefore, I consider very important this point for review.</p>	<p>A- Introduction: Done</p> <p>B- Material and Methods: Done</p> <p>C- Done</p> <p>D- Done</p> <p>E- Abbreviations explained</p> <p>F- Histogram: 2D</p> <p>G- noted.</p>