SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Biology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJOB_36619**
Title of the Manuscript:	**WATER QUALITY STATUS OF RIVER DONAN DUE TO OPERATIONAL REFINERY PERTAMINA UNIT IV CILACAP-CENTRAL JAVA-INDONESIA**
Type of the Article	**Case study**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The Paper is a nice attempt in terms of applied Methodology, and Contains Good and accurate Results and Tables with Data showing in Figures however there should be more up to date references (after 2011 onward) should be cited in Text Part so that Paper Could be a much impressive. Add more diagrams and represent the paper in a professional way. After this paper, can be a good Paper and minimized the risks and problems. Clear the abstract and give some precise details in conclusion.	
Minor REVISION comments	Author should improve the English language of paper and check the grammatical mistakes, and also abstract and conclusion is not clear, so clear it,	
Optional/General comments	Some updated result should be described in graphical mode, and update the references to make the research worthy and up-to-date.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	*Saima Fazal*
Department, University & Country	*Environmental Sciences, South China University of Technology, China*