

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_36619
Title of the Manuscript:	WATER QUALITY STATUS OF RIVER DONAN DUE TO OPERATIONAL REFINERY PERTAMINA UNIT IV CILACAP-CENTR
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed highlight that part in the manu- his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Lines 8, 12 and 15: Objective, methodology and results at the beginning should be deleted The manuscript is poor in clarity and grammar	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The manuscript in its current form is poor. There is the need to re-write the whole text.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Francis K. Attiogbe
Department, University & Country	Energy & Environmental Engineering, University of Energy and Natural Resources, Ghana

TRAL JAVA-INDONESIA

ed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and nuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write