



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Biology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJOB_36422</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Influence of sub-chronic administration of fruit extracts of Xylopi aethiopica on haematopoietic system of male Wistar albino rats</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The grammar in manuscript should be reviewed. The author should mention the references for dose and route of administration. The author should mention the weight of the animals. Why the author divided the blood samples into 2 parts, part taken on EDTA and the other part without. I don't understand what the biochemical tests that author mentioned are. There were only haematological parameters which need the blood taken on EDTA. The author should mention how to get rid of animals safely.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The abstract is clear and concise. The introduction is fully summarizing the contents of the article. The results and discussion is well written.	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Salwa Refat El-Zayat</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Medical Physiology, National Research Centre, Egypt</b>