SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_36422
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of sub-chronic administration of fruit extracts of Xylopia aethiopica on haematopoietic system of male Wistar albino rats
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

		Reviewer's comment							Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	 Line 9: Why should four (4) rats per group be used? Line 26-29: Reason(s) behind decrease in body weight should not be stated in abstract. Line 73: orie should be written as in "Orie" Line 75-76: Include plant's Voucher number. Line 84: Add space as in "1 g" Line 99: Similar comment to line 9. Line 99: 100: Add space Line 134: Not well written. Instead used "mean ± SD" Line 134: This should be in 2 decimal places. Why is the SD for WBC higher than the mean? Line 167-168: SD should be in 2 decimal places. Why is the SD for WBC higher than the mean? Line 175-176: Reconcile statement (p<0.05) Line 178-178: Reconcile statement (p<0.05) Line 182-183: SD should be in 2 decimal places. Why is the SD for WBC higher than the mean? Line 178-176: Reconcile statement (p<0.05) Line 182-183: SD should be in 2 decimal places. Why is the SD for WBC higher than the mean? Line 178-176: Reconcile statement (p<0.05) Line 182-183: SD should be in 2 decimal places. Why is the SD for WBC higher than the mean? Line 178-176: Reconcile statement (p<0.05) Line 182-183: SD should be in 2 decimal places. Why is the SD for WBC higher than the mean? Line 197: Reconcile statement (p>0.05) Line 197: Reconcile statement (p>0.05) Line 197: Reconcile statement (p>0.05) Line 214-215: SD should be in 2 decimal places. Why is the SD for WBC higher than the mean? Line 214-215: SD should be in 2 decimal places. Why is the SD for WBC higher than the mean? Line 214-215: SD should be in 2 decimal places. Why is the SD for WBC higher than the mean? Line 214-215: SD should be in 2 decimal places. Why is the SD for WBC higher than the mean? Line 214-215: SD should be in 2 decimal places. Why is the SD for WBC higher than the mean? Line 214-215: SD should be in 2 decimal places. Why is the SD for WBC higher tha								
Minor REVISION comments	Line 317-319: Repeated year should be corrected. Adopt this table format for results of the various parameters:								
	Treatment PCV (%)								
			wk 1	wk 2	wk 3	wk 4	wk 5	wk 6	
	Control	Vehicle							
	Methanol extract	50 100 150							
	Aqueous extract	50 100 150							
Optional/General comments			·	•	•	•	·	·	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Erhirhie Earnest Oghenesuvwe
Department, University & Country	Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria