



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_37214
Title of the Manuscript:	Leaf anatomical characteristics and its heritability of different quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa Willd.) genotypes as influenced by moderate and severe water stress
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1- Several sentences are very long. To improve readability, authors should consider breaking this into multiple sentences (please see my comments in track change) 2- The authors needs to consider writing the linear models for their statistical analysis. 3- In the materials and methods the authors fed as an area unit, I would recommend using hectare 4- In the literature please consider comparing quinoa with other filed crops especially for the used technique. 5-Please see the attached word file with track change to see the rest of the comments and suggested corrections	1- Done 2- The statistical analysis of split plot and RCBD is well known and no need to write the linear model in this type of research. By the way, nowadays the split plot is a design not arrangement and we used RCBD arrangement in sub-plots. 3- Done 4- Okay 5- Corrected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		