



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_36619
Title of the Manuscript:	WATER QUALITY STATUS OF RIVER DONAN DUE TO OPERATIONAL REFINERY PERTAMINA UNIT IV CILACAP-CENTRAL JAVA-INDONESIA
Type of the Article	Case study

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	The Paper is a nice attempt in terms of applied Methodology, and Contains Good and accurate Results and Tables with Data showing in Figures however there should be more up to date references (after 2011 onward) should be cited in Text Part so that Paper Could be a much impressive. Add more diagrams and represent the paper in a professional way. After this paper, can be a good Paper and minimized the risks and problems. Clear the abstract and give some precise details in conclusion.	Thanks for the correction, I've done the fix. such as corrections on the article I resubmit. Thank you very much
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Author should improve the English language of paper and check the grammatical mistakes, and also abstract and conclusion is not clear, so clear it,	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Some updated result should be described in graphical mode, and update the references to make the research worthy and up-to-date.	