



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_36619
Title of the Manuscript:	WATER QUALITY STATUS OF RIVER DONAN DUE TO OPERATIONAL REFINERY PERTAMINA UNIT IV CILACAP-CENTRAL JAVA-INDONESIA
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Lines 8, 12 and 15: Objective, methodology and results at the beginning should be deleted</p> <p>The manuscript is poor in clarity and grammar</p>	<p>Objective, methodology and results : Improvements to the journal I have fixed</p> <p>Improvements to the journal I have fixed</p> <p>the conclusion I have fixed</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript in its current form is poor. There is the need to re-write the whole text.	The manuscript I have fixed, please correct me later