



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_36115
Title of the Manuscript:	Homestead plant diversity at the ship breaking areas of Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Introduction In the introduction, we need to support the statement by relevant references. In this paper, there are no reference. More serious, there are affirmations and statistics given whose references are unknown. Moreover, there is no specific problem underlying this study, in other words there is no justification for this study. The objectives of this study are poorly formulated. Methodology Separate this section in two parts : study area and data collection. May be a tired part should be data processing. Here you need also references. Results Ligne 119. About 2% people engaged in other occupations shown in (Figure 2b). Remove the parentheses. Table 1. the list of the species contain some errors : Banana <i>Musa sapientum</i>, Mehegoni <i>Swietenia mahagoni</i>, Ata <i>Annona squamosa</i>, Boroï <i>Zyzyphus Mauritania</i> Line 152. 152 <i>Luffa cylindrical (cylindrica)</i> Put figures 4a and 4b on the same scale Line 209. Should be a section at the end of the end of the article. Discussion Discussion is poor. In a scientific work, references are very important to valid the report. References To adapt the references to the text.	The introduction part was updated with references and information according to the reviewer's suggestion. Furthermore, objectives and methodology part were formulated as per the guideline provided by the reviewer. In addition, table errors, figure errors were corrected by the authors as suggested. Discussion and reference section were also updated. Please check the original paper. All corrections are provided here as attached file.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		