



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_35655
Title of the Manuscript:	A retrospective study of Plasmodium Parasites infection in Ngaski Local Government of Kebbi State, North-west, Nigeria
Type of Article:	original research article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
- Your methodology is not clear; For example, 4th and 5th sentences of the abstract indicate that you made use of both primary and secondary data. However the way it has been written is bewildering. Example 2: under Study subjects (this again indicated that you made use of past records of malaria cases): - What constituted your primary and secondary data? - Was your secondary data a daily, weekly or monthly confirmed cases of malaria? Please specify it in both the abstract and main work. - In reference to your secondary data (reported cases of malaria retrieved from the hospital), how was malaria determined in the health facility (? RDTs, or microscopy). - You cannot state this "p>0.05" or "p<0.05". Insert the actual values you had (example P= 0.0003). Please go through the entire work and make the changes. - What age groups are you referring to here (Under study subjects)? These two sentences does not connect.	Microscopy was the method used in the health facility. In line 4 and 5. Microscopy was described in brief. One of the editors that reviewed the first manuscript said we should indicate the methods used. Past record was made used of. It was a daily confirmed case. Microscopic was used in the health facility. This was clearly stated in the methodology Value has been inserted Age group ≤ 5 year and above 5 years of age.