



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_34664
Title of the Manuscript:	Morphological and molecular evaluation of genetic diversity of wild Tunisian Oregano, <i>Origanum vulgare</i> L. subsp. <i>glandulosum</i> Desf. letswaart
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	From the conclusions and the references, the novelty of this paper is sufficient and the analysis is authentic. Readability of this paper is good, the figures and tables are clear and understandability.	I thank the reviewer for these comments.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>1-Despite that there are some interesting results in the manuscript; it should be rewritten by the researcher, who is aware in general rules of scientific publications, at least using his native language.</p> <p>2-(ii) English grammar and stylistics should be cardinally improved.</p> <p>3-Some analysis must to be added such as (secondary metabolites) such as volatile oils</p>	<p>I have improved the English grammar and stylistics of the manuscript.</p> <p>I have added the analysis of secondary metabolites: Essential oils chemical composition of the studied populations (Table 10).</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		