

Editor's comments:

I have gone through the revised manuscript thoroughly and found that the manuscript is having a technical issue. The data they have generated seems questionable. As they had only 2 replicates, how did they perform ANOVA analysis. For statistical analysis at-least triplicate is needed to check the significance of the results. I had raised these questions in earlier communication, but the authors had not responded to these questions. They only corrected some mistakes. In line no. 260, authors had used the word dispersibility earlier, I had asked them to confirm where it is dispersibility or dispensibility? but the authors had NOT replied to it. Further they have NOT written the acknowledgment section, as suggested by me earlier.

How they got statically significant results in duplicates, which is technically invalid.

Authors' feedback:

If the reviewer is very conversant with statistical analysis, he/she will know that use of SPSS 12 to 21 uses minimum of duplicate data to measure significant. SPSS uses 2 replicate to generate ANOVA and LSD. There is no technical issue in that. The author is very conversant with SPSS and knows the rule involve in this software. **Dispersibility** comes from the chemistry word **Dispersion** . **Dispersion - in chemistry** is defined as a mixture whereby fine particles of one substance are scattered all through another substance. It was appropriately used by the author. Acknowledgment section was optional, there was no grant for this work, so the authors does not see it as a very important section.