



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_34533
Title of the Manuscript:	Assesment of phenology and morphological diversity of 3 species of Asteraceae: <i>Anacyclus clavatus</i> , <i>Chamaemelum fuscatum</i> and <i>Leucanthemum parthenium</i>
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>The article still has serious errors in English language (typography and style, below I mentioned some examples). The introduction is still poorly written, too much information that is not in the scope of work. The results are not discussed.</p> <p>The species are not written in the standard way (in relation to abbreviation of the genus).</p> <p>Line 35-38 (Conclusion) – This is just repeating the results.</p> <p>Line 83 -84- The author writes that the species <i>Chamaemelum fuscatum</i> belongs to the genus <i>Anthemis</i></p> <p>Line 130 – “the structure and color of the leaves, the structure and color of the inflorescences and flowers, the structure and color of akene and the weight of 100 akene” <u>Color is a morphological trait?</u></p> <p>Fig 1-3. The months are not in English.</p> <p>Table 1 – In the number of branches what is the “T”? Color is not a morphological attribute.</p> <p>Line 210-226. You are repeating the information in table 1.</p> <p>Line 234 - 236. Are these values the averages followed by standard deviation? Why are not they in table 1?</p> <p>Line 248-250- Values do not match table values.</p> <p>Line 251-258- That is material and methods not results.</p> <p>Line 266-267 “This species effect is only significant for the number of leaves (NF)”<u>???</u></p>	<p>I have changed the conclusion section (Line 376-383) of the manuscript.</p> <p>I have deleted the term “<i>Anthemis</i> genus” Line 92 from the introduction.</p> <p>The color is not a morphological trait in our study; I have deleted the term “color” line 138- 139 from materials and methods section.</p> <p>I have corrected Figures 1- 3 the months were now writing in English.</p> <p>In Table 1, “T” represents the number of branches. Yes, color is not a morphological attribute.</p> <p>I have deleted the 3 paragraph: “The branching”, “The stem” and “Leaves” Line 210-226 from the manuscript.</p> <p>Line 234 - 236. These values are the averages followed by standard deviation. I have added these values in Table 1.</p> <p>Line 248-250- I have corrected these values (weight of 100 akenes) to be match table 1 values.</p> <p>I have corrected the section “3.2.2. Analysis of morphological variability” to be part of materials</p>



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

<p>Line 277. "Comparison of means." It is a posteriori test? Tukey?</p> <p>Line 311-327. Exhaustive!!!</p> <p>Figure 7. There is no quality for publication. What is this title?</p> <p>Table 4. Unnecessary</p> <p>Examples of poor english</p> <p>Line 81. "stomach and belly pains"</p> <p>Line 72. "bipinnatized"</p> <p>Line 85. "bipinnatized"</p> <p>Line 88. "C. fuscatum is found everywhere"</p> <p>Line 88-89. Use of "etc"</p> <p>Line 202-204. Incomprehensible</p> <p>Line 238. "The fruits differ from one species to another"</p> <p>Line 329 -330. "...reveals a more or less homogeneous grouping..."</p> <p>Line 332. "...very far from the others..."</p> <p>Line 352. "...analysis of variance showed variations..."</p>	<p>and methods and I have moved to "2.4. Data analysis" section.</p> <p>I have deleted the sentence "This species effect is only significant for the number of leaves (NF)" Line 266-267 because the species effect was highly significant for the majority of the quantitative traits measured.</p> <p>Line 277. "Comparison of means: It is a Duncan test.</p> <p>I have modified the section "3.2.2.3. The Matrix of correlation coefficients" Line 311-327 and deleted some sentences.</p> <p>I have modified Figure 7.</p> <p>I have corrected the term "stomach and belly pains" Line 81 by stomach pain.</p> <p>I have corrected the term "bipinnatized" by "bipinnate" in all the manuscript.</p> <p>I have deleted the term "everywhere" Line 88.</p> <p>I have deleted the term "etc" Line 88-89.</p> <p>I have replaced the paragraph of section "3.2.1. Study of vegetative part" Line 202-204 by this sentence "A comparative morphological characteristics of the 3 species studied is shown in Table 1".</p> <p>I have replaced the sentence "The fruits differ from one species to another" by "The fruits differ between the 3 species studied".</p> <p>I have replaced the sentence "...reveals a more or less homogeneous grouping..." Line 329 -330 by "reveals a homogeneous grouping".</p> <p>I have replaced the sentence "...very far from the others..." Line 332 by "seems very distinct from the two others species."</p> <p>I have replaced the sentence "...analysis of variance showed variations..." Line 352 by "showed variations among the 33 accessions of <i>Ricinus communis</i> L."</p>
---	---