



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_34302
Title of the Manuscript:	Occurrence of Cassava Mosaic Disease Related to Agro-ecosystem in Farmer's Fields located in Kongo Central Province, Democratic Republic of Congo
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript is generally of good quality. However, I suggest a thorough check and correction of all grammatical errors.	The manuscript was revised and, overall, grammatical errors were corrected.
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Line 23-24.parameters show significant difference ($P = .05$) among fields for the same locality. Yet data on individual fields is not shown. I suggest you show data if available.</p> <p>How far apart these farms were, please clarify.</p> <p>Line 148-175: You may consider representing some of these results by pie charts to make it clearer.</p> <p>Line 186-187: Repetition of figures already mentioned in results.</p>	<p>Line 23-24: In general, the objective was to analyse the CMD pressure in relation to the localities. If data from each field should be presented, this would result in additional Tables (possibly with 1 table by locality); in this context we resolved to present the average data for each locality.</p> <p>Line 148-175: For us, presenting results in the same table is more comprehensive than multiplying small tables or graphs for each type of parameter considered in this study.</p> <p>Line 186-187: The mean of ... gravity was deleted to avoid repetition.</p>
Optional/General comments		