SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Biology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJOB_31159**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Proximate composition and nutritional analysis of seeds and testas of Dacryodes edulis and Garcinia kola**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**Title:** In close relevance to the content in the manuscript. **Abstract:** Well written. **Introduction**: Reflecting adequate background of the studies embodied in the manuscript. **Materials and Methods**: Adequate citing relevant references therein. **Results:** Covering sufficient statistically strengthen data. **Discussion**: Describing relevance of the findings with supporting citations. **Conclusion**: Observed to be very fascinating to readers of relevance. **References**: Adequate.	We agree with the reviewer completely.
Minor REVISION comments	Not Applicable	
Optional/General comments	Not Applicable	