



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_31058
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Phytochemical Studies and Proximate Analysis of Five Commonly Consumed Vegetables of Southern Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Serious attention is needed in all the sections. Too many to be listed one by one. They are all in the Ms. Pdf file. Authors should see the file for proper correction. In abstract, statistical procedure used should be stated and conclusion added. The values in abstract should be in uniform with what is in the main work.	Following suggestions, corrections have been made in line with the observations
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		