



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_43403
Title of the Manuscript:	OVERVIEW OF MANAGEMENT OF NASAL SEPTAL ABSCESS IN A PRIVATE FACILITY IN LAGOS, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Please summarize the main points in the Introduction. Some contents are thought to be necessary in the Discussion. As a whole, please evaluate septal hematoma and abscess separately.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The title is corrected (bscess -- abscess). Table 1 contains missing parts. I think the authors need to supplement this. What is the difference between Nasal blockage and Difficulty breathing? Difficulty breathing needs to be clearly distinguished whether it means breathing through the nose or breathing itself.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is an overview of the treatment of septal abscess in Nigeria, but I think it needs more formal and content supplementation to publish.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Kyung-Su Kim</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>College of Medicine, Yonsei University, South Korea</i>