

Original Research Article

Clinicopathologic characteristics of colorectal polyps: A study from South Egypt cancer Institute

ABSTRACT (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED, CAPS)

Abstract

Introduction: Several studies have found associations between different colorectal polyp subtypes and colorectal cancer. The identification of colorectal polyps can reduce CRC mortality through earlier diagnosis of cancers and the removal of polyps which are the precursor lesion of CRC.

Aim To study the clinicopathologic characteristics of colorectal polyps in patients from South Egypt Cancer Institute, Assiut University, Egypt.

Material and Methods: A total of 74 colorectal polyps were obtained from the Department of Pathology Archives of South Egypt Cancer Institute, Assiut University. Data regarding age, gender, size, histologic types of polyps, site and degree of dysplasia were retrieved and analysed using SPSS version 20.

Results: The average age was 31.6 years. The majority of cases were non-adenomatous polyps (70.6%), while adenomatous polyps represent 21 cases (29.4%). Colorectal polyps were slightly common in males (56.8%) than in females (43.2%). Rectum was the most common site for polyp development. Most of colorectal polyps were of medium size (1-2 cm) and tubulovillous adenoma was the most frequent type among adenomatous polyps.

Conclusion: our study revealed similar type and distribution of colorectal polyps as that in previous studies with minor differences.

Keywords: *Colorectal polyps, dysplasia, histologic types, Egypt*

1. INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of colorectal polyps varies widely between different geographical areas. It was estimated that 30% of the Western population have colorectal polyps while a lower rate (10–15%) was noted in Asia and Africa [3]. The colorectal polyps were of high rate in patients older than 50 years as compared to those younger than 50 years.

Colorectal polyps are common diseases of the gastrointestinal system. Generally, colon polyps can be divided into adenomatous polyps and non-adenomatous polyps. Adenomatous polyps have a risk to develop into tumors, but non-adenomatous polyps do not [4].

Adenomatous polyps are tumors of benign neoplastic epithelium with variable potential for malignancy. The World Health Organisation (WHO) classifies adenomas into tubular (less than 20% villous architecture), tubulovillous and villous [5]. A tubular adenoma is the most common histological subtype, constituting approximately 65–80% of all polyps removed. Tubular adenomas are most often pedunculated and generally harbor less atypia than villous adenomas do, although the degree of atypia is variable. [6]. Adenomas that are ≥ 10 mm in diameter or have high-grade dysplasia or villous components are considered advanced polyps and have the greatest risk of developing into a malignancy [7].

To the best of our knowledge, in Egypt , no detailed study on colorectal polyps could be retrieved. Hence, our study aimed to shed light on clinicopathologic characteristics of colorectal polyps diagnosed in South Egypt Cancer Institute during the period of Jan 2009 to Dec 2014.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study design: This is a retrospective study. A total of 74 formalin fixed paraffin embedded blocks were included in this study. All cases were obtained from the Department of Pathology Archives of South Egypt Cancer Institute, Assiut University. We included all colorectal polyps detected by colonoscopy and surgically-resected specimens from Jan 2009 to Dec 2014.

The clinicopathological data of all colorectal polyps were collected, including patient age, gender, lesion size, location described in the endoscopic reports, histological type and degree of dysplasia .

Statistical study: Continuous variables are presented as mean and standard deviation (SD), while categorical variables are presented as numbers and proportions. Independent sample t-tests were used for comparisons of continuous, normally distributed variables. Categorical variables were compared using the chi square test, with a p value less than 0.05 significance level was used. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS IBM Statistics version 20.

3. RESULTS

A total of 74 colorectal polyp specimens were included in the study. The average age was 31.6 ($\pm$ 2.7) years. Out of 74 patients; 42 (56.8%) patients were males with male to female ratio 1.3:1 . The most frequently polyp type was non-adenomatous polyps 53 (70.6%) cases, while adenomatous polyps represent 21 (29.4%) cases. Collectively, most of colorectal polyps were of medium size (1-2 cm) comprising 38 out of 74 cases (51.4%) followed by the small sized polyps (36.5%) and lastly the large sized polyps (> 2cm) representing 10.8% of all polyps. (**Table-1**)

Table 1: Characteristics of the studied cases

Clinico-pathological features	No.
Age (mean $\pm$ SE)	31.6 $\pm$ 2.7
Gender	
Male	42 (56.8%)
Female	32 (43.2%)
Polyp type	
Adenomatous	21 (29.4%)
Non adenomatous	53 (70.6%)
Polyp size	
< 1 cm	27 (36.5%)
1-2 cm	38 (51.4%)
>2 cm	8 (10.8%)
N/A	1 (1.4%)

According to histopathologic types of adenomatous polyps, tubulovillous adenoma was the most frequent type comprising 13(61.9%) cases, whereas both tubular and villous adenoma represent 5(23.8%) cases and 3(14.3%) cases , respectively. Out of 53 non-adenomatous polyps, 29 (54.7%) were hamartomatous polyps with 16 (30.2%) cases and 8 (15.1%) cases were inflammatory and hyperplastic polyps respectively. The average age of adenomatous polyp was 44.7 $\pm$ 3.8 years, while for hamartomatous, inflammatory and hyperplastic polyps (the average age was 8.1, 49.7, and 54.1years respectively, (**Table-2**).

64 **Table2: Different histologic types of polys with age distribution**

Polyps	No. (%)	Age (Mean $\pm$ SE)
Adenomatous		44.7 $\pm$ 3.8
Tubulovillous	13 (61.9%)	
Tubular	5 (23.8%)	
Villous	3 (14.3%)	
Non adenomatous		
Hamartomatous	29 (54.7%)	8.1 $\pm$ 1.1
Inflammatory	16 (30.2%)	49.6 $\pm$ 3.3
hyperplastic	8(15.1%)	54.1 $\pm$ 5.1

66
67 Regarding the anatomical distribution of colorectal polyps, right colon was the most common site
68 for adenomatous polyps (57.1%) followed by rectum (19%). While in case of non-adenomatous polyps,
69 rectum was the commonest site comprising 35 cases for all non-adenomatous polyps and
70 hamartomatous polyps representing the major type (27 cases out of 35 cases) (**Table-3**).

71
72 **Table 3: Anatomical distribution of different colorectal polyps**

Polyp type	Site						
	Right colon	Transverse colon	Left colon	Sigmoid	Rectum	Anal canal	Multicentric
Adenomatous	12 (57.1%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (4.8%)	1 (4.8%)	4 (19.0%)	1 (4.8%)	2 (9.5%)
Hamartomatous	1 (3.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	27 (39.1%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.4%)
Inflammatory	5 (31.3%)	2 (12.5%)	1 (6.3%)	1 (6.3%)	6 (37.5%)	1 (6.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Hyperplastic	1 (12.5%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (25.0%)	2 (25.0%)	2 (25.0%)	1 (12.5%)	0 (0.0%)

73
74
75 This study revealed that most of adenomatous polyps were of medium size (1-2 cm) comprising
76 14 (57.1%) cases and the tubulovillous type was the commonest (38.1%). In non-adenomatous polyps,
77 hamartomatous polyps were the commonest polyps that have a medium size (1-2 cm) representing 21
78 out of 53 (40.4%) cases of non-adenomatous polyps. While in inflammatory and hyperplastic polyps, the
79 commonest size was the small sized polyp (< 1cm) representing about 17.3% and 9.6% of non-
80 adenomatous polyps respectively (**Table 4**)

81 **Table-4: polyp size regarding polyp type**

polyps	<1 cm	1-2 cm	>2 cm
Non-adenomatous			
Inflammatory	9 (17.3%)	2 (3.8%)	4 (7.7%)
Hyperplastic	5 (9.6%)	3 (5.8%)	0 (0.0%)
Hamartomatous	7 (13.5%)	21 (40.4%)	5 (9.6%)
Adenomatous			
Tubular	3 (14.3%)	2 (9.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Tubulovillous	2 (9.5%)	8 (38.1%)	3 (14.3%)

villous 1 (4.8%) 2 (9.5%) 0 (0.0%)

Association of gender with different characteristics of polyps

Considering gender difference and type of colorectal polyp, statistical significant association was found between gender and colorectal polyps type ($p < 0.04$). In this study, 64.2% of non-adenomatous polyps were males compared to 35.8% of cases were females. No statistical significant difference in age between males and females ($p > 0.05$). Also, no significant association between gender and polyps size ($p > 0.05$). (Table-5).

Table 5: Relation between gender and different characteristics of polyps

Characteristic features	Gender		P value
	Male N = 42	Female N = 32	
Age (mean $\pm$ SE)			
31.6 $\pm$ 2.7	30.1 $\pm$ 3.6	35.5 $\pm$ 4.1	0.328*
Polyp type			
Adenomatous	8 (38.1%)	13(61.9%)	0.04**
Non adenomatous	34(64.2%)	19(35.8%)	
Polyp size			
< 1 cm	14 (51.9%)	13 (48.1%)	0.826**
1-2 cm	22 (57.9%)	16 (42.1%)	
>2 cm	5 (62.5%)	3 (37.5%)	

*two-sample t-test

**chi-square test

Correlation between polyps types and age groups:

We explored the association between polyp types and age group. It was observed that younger age patients (below 20 years) have more often non-adenomatous polyps compared to older age groups ($p = 0.01$). When analyzed separately, in non-adenomatous polyps hamartomatous polyps occurred more frequently in young patients compared to other types of polyps ($p < 0.001$). However, in adenomatous polyps, tubulovillous adenoma occurs more frequently in the age group (40-60 years) compared to other types, however it was not statistically significance ($p > 0.05$) (Table-6).

Table 6: Correlation between different types of polyps and age groups

Polyps	<20s	20-40s	41-60s	>60s	P value
Non adenomatous					< 0.001
Inflammatory	1 (1.9%)	2 (3.8%)	10 (18.9%)	3 (5.7%)	
Hyperplastic	0 (0.0%)	2 (3.8%)	3 (5.7%)	3 (5.7%)	
Hamartomatous	27 (50.9%)	2 (3.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Adenomatous					0.381
Tubular	0 (0.0%)	1 (4.8%)	2 (9.5%)	2 (9.5%)	
Tubulovillous	2 (9.5%)	3 (14.3%)	7 (33.3%)	1 (4.8%)	
Villous	1 (4.8%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (9.5%)	0 (0.0%)	

Association of dysplasia and different characteristics of adenomatous polyp:

our data showed that high grade dysplasia is more common in females than males, with male to female ratio about 1: 2.2 , and this was not statistically significant ($p= 0.325$). Also no statistical significance association between degree of dysplasia and different histopathologic types of adenomatous polyps ($p = 0.91$). Regarding polyp size and degree of dysplasia, no significant association was found ($p = 0.848$) (Table-7).

Table 7: Association of dysplasia and different characteristics of adenomatous polyp

	Low grade dysplasia	High grade dysplasia	P value
Age	37 ± 12.1	47± 3.2	0.464
Gender			
Male	3 (37.5%)	5 (62.5%)	0.325
Female	2 (15.4%)	11(84.6%)	
Adenomatous polyp			
Tubulovillous	3 (23.1%)	10 (76.9%)	0.912
Tubular	1 (20%)	4 (80%)	
Villous	1 (33.3%)	2 (66.7%)	
Polyp size			
< 1 cm	1(16.7%)	5 (83.3%)	0.848
1-2 cm	3 (25%)	9 (75%)	
>2 cm	1 (33.3%)	2 (66.7%)	

DISCUSSION

Colorectal polyps are a common finding in screening colonoscopies. Due to their high prevalence, some of them bear a malignant potential which still represents a central issue in preventive medicine.

This study, showed male predominance this goes in line with other studies that reported a male predominance about 58.8% [8] and other study that showed a male to female ratio was 1:1:57 [9]. However, An Iranian group showed a slight female predominance about 50.3% in their study [10]. Similarly, Munteanu et al.2009, reported slight females preponderance (56.6%). This could be attributed to different sample size.

The mean age of our studied patients was found to be 31.6 years which was lower than that reported in the literature. In Arabic region reported that the average age was 49 years [11]. In a kuwaiti study an average age of 45 years has been reported [12]. Also, a study of Iranian group showed that mean age of participants was 57 years [10]. This could be due to different sample size and types of colorectal polyps studied.

Our data revealed that non-adenomatous polyps were more frequent than adenomatous polyps which agree to some extent with other studies [13] [12] that reported predominance of non-adenomatous polyps compared to adenomatous polyps among their studied cases, but our data contradict other study which reported that the frequency of both neoplastic and non-neoplastic polys were 50% each [14].

In adenomatous polyps, we observed that tubulovillous adenoma were the most frequent type followed by tubular then villous type. These data were similar to those reported in a study by Albasri et al.[11] and Wickramasinghe et al. [9]. However, results from recent publications found that tubular adenoma was more common than tubulovillous adenoma and then villous adenoma. For example, an Iranian study

found that tubular adenoma comprised about 24.9% of all polyp type followed by tubulovillous adenoma with 7.1% and lastly villous adenoma were 2.7% [10].

In non adenomatous polyps, this study revealed that hamartomatous polyps were the commonest type representing 54.7%, whilst inflammatory and hyperplastic polyps were 30.2% and 15.1% respectively. This was in contrast with other study that showed hyperplastic polyps were most frequent representing 71.4% and hamartomatous polyps were less frequent 8.6% [15]. Also, another study observed that hyperplastic polyps were the commonest type comprising about 23.1% of all polyp type [10]. This difference could be attributed to the small number of cases in our study.

According to anatomical distribution of polyps, we found a predominance of rectal polyps among all types of polyps followed by the right colon. Similarly, a study done by Wickramasinghe et al. [9] claimed highest number of rectal polyps found in rectum (33.5%) followed by sigmoid colon (22.9%). On the contrary, several studies reported that sigmoid colon was the commonest site [10, 11, 16].

In this study, right colon was the most common site for adenomatous polyps (57.1%) followed by rectum (19%), but other studies show that (56%) of adenomas were left-sided and (44%) of adenomas were right-sided [17]. Also, Delavari and his colleagues study reported that distal colon was more prone to develop adenomatous polyps and cancer than proximal colon [18]. Furthermore, a study in Saudi Arabia that reported that adenomatous polyps were frequently located in left colon [19].

The current study found that more than half of colorectal polyps ranged from 1-2 cm (52.1%) followed by the small sized polyps (36.5%), these data contradict a study which reported that the majority of polyps (42.5%) were small (<1 cm), (38.7%) of a medium size (1-2 cm) and 18.8% large (>2 cm) [15]. This difference may be explained as large number of adenomatous polyps in their study.

In our study high grade dysplasia were in mean age 47 years old patient similar to a study done by Cekodhima et al. showing that high grade of dysplasia associated with patients above 45 years old and common in both tubular and tubulovillous component [15]. These data also is in agreement with other studies [11, 20]. In the current work high grade dysplasia were common in female than male with male to female ratio 1:2.2. which contradicts Albasri et al. [11]. Contradicting to our study, a strong significant association was found between high grade dysplasia and polyp size and type [8] [11] which was due to small number of adenomatous polyps in this study.

One of the important limitation of this study is the small sample size

4. CONCLUSION

To sum up, our study showed demographic data such as age and gender nearly similar to recent literatures. However, rectum was the most common site followed by right colon. Also, tubulovillous adenoma was the commonest type in our study. There is no statistical significance association between degree of dysplasia and any of clinicopathologic characteristics of adenomatous polyps. A study using larger sample size is recommended to further clarify clinicopathologic characteristics of colorectal polyps and study the associated risk factors

REFERENCES

1. Burnett-Hartman, A.N., et al., *Variation in the Association Between Colorectal Cancer Susceptibility Loci and Colorectal Polyps by Polyp Type*. American Journal of Epidemiology, 2014. **180**(2): p. 223-232.
2. Bonnington, S.N. and M.D. Rutter, *Surveillance of colorectal polyps: Are we getting it right?* World Journal of Gastroenterology, 2016. **22**(6): p. 1925-1934.
3. Nouraie, M., et al., *Clinicopathological features colon polyps from African-Americans*. Digestive diseases and sciences, 2010. **55**(5): p. 1442-1449.
4. Tan, T., et al., *Diagnostic value of high-resolution micro-endoscopy for the classification of colon polyps*. World J Gastroenterol, 2016. **22**(5): p. 1869-76.
5. Castells, A., et al., *[Clinical practice guideline. Prevention of colorectal cancer. 2009 update. Asociacion Espanola de Gastroenterologia]*. Gastroenterol Hepatol, 2009. **32**(10): p. 717 e1-58.
6. Shussman, N. and S.D. Wexner, *Colorectal polyps and polyposis syndromes*. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf), 2014. **2**(1): p. 1-15.

- 192 7. Burnett-Hartman, A.N., et al., *Variation in the Association Between Colorectal Cancer Susceptibility Loci*
193 *and Colorectal Polyps by Polyp Type*. Am J Epidemiol, 2014. **180**(2): p. 223-32.
- 194 8. Zare-Mirzaie, A., M. Abolhasani, and A. Aryamanesh, *Left sided colorectal adenomatous polyps have more*
195 *risk for high grade dysplasia*. Acta Med Iran, 2013. **51**(3): p. 172-7.
- 196 9. Wickramasinghe, D.P., et al., *Types and Patterns of Colorectal Polyps Encountered at a Tertiary Care*
197 *Center in a Developing Country in South Asia*. Analytical Cellular Pathology (Amsterdam), 2014. **2014**: p.
198 248142.
- 199 10. Iravani, S., et al., *Prevalence and characteristics of colorectal polyps in symptomatic and asymptomatic*
200 *Iranian patients undergoing colonoscopy from 2009-2013*. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 2014. **15**(22): p. 9933-
201 7.
- 202 11. Albasri, A., et al., *Profile of colorectal polyps: a retrospective study from King Fahad Hospital, Madinah,*
203 *Saudi Arabia*. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 2014. **15**(6): p. 2669-73.
- 204 12. Al-Enezi, S.A., et al., *Adenomatous Colorectal Polyps in Patients Referred for Colonoscopy in a Regional*
205 *Hospital in Kuwait*. Saudi Journal of Gastroenterology : Official Journal of the Saudi Gastroenterology
206 Association, 2010. **16**(3): p. 188-193.
- 207 13. Zhou, L., et al., *Clinical, endoscopic and pathological characteristics of colorectal polyps in elderly patients:*
208 *Single-center experience*. Mol Clin Oncol, 2017. **7**(1): p. 81-87.
- 209 14. Wisedopas, N., D. Thirabanasak, and M. Taweewisit, *A retrospective study of colorectal polyps in King*
210 *Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital*. J Med Assoc Thai, 2005. **88 Suppl 4**: p. S36-41.
- 211 15. Cekodhima, G., et al., *Demographic And Histopathological Characteristics Of Colorectal Polyps: A*
212 *Descriptive Study Based On Samples Obtained From Symptomatic Patients*. Slovenian Journal of Public
213 Health, 2016. **55**(2): p. 118-123.
- 214 16. Bafandeh, Y. and F. Yazdanpanah, *Distribution pattern of colorectal diseases based on 2300 total*
215 *colonoscopies*. Gastroenterol Hepatol Bed Bench, 2017. **10**(2): p. 90-96.
- 216 17. Khodadoostan, M., et al., *Clinical and pathological characteristics of colorectal polyps in Iranian*
217 *population*. East Afr J Public Health, 2010. **7**(2): p. 157-9.
- 218 18. Delavari, A., et al., *Characteristics of Colorectal Polyps and Cancer; a Retrospective Review of Colonoscopy*
219 *Data in Iran*. Middle East Journal of Digestive Diseases, 2014. **6**(3): p. 144-150.
- 220 19. Almadi, M.A., et al., *Prevalence and Characteristics of Colorectal Polyps and Adenomas in 2654*
221 *Colonoscopies in Saudi Arabia*. Saudi Journal of Gastroenterology : Official Journal of the Saudi
222 Gastroenterology Association, 2014. **20**(3): p. 154-161.
- 223 20. Asadzadeh Aghdaei, H., et al., *Polyp detection rate and pathological features in patients undergoing a*
224 *comprehensive colonoscopy screening*. World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology, 2017. **8**(1): p.
225 3-10.