



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_43403
Title of the Manuscript:	OVERVIEW OF MANAGEMENT OF NASAL SEPTAL BSCESS IN A PRIVATE FACILITY IN LAGOS, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	As a whole article is good. Well described and well illustrated. Still it needs minor revisions. Any original article should contain own conclusion and should enlighten the readers. Conclusion of this article is almost same with that of the article of which link is given below. I would like to advise you to please enrich the conclusion and resubmit for publication and also correct the spelling mistakes. For example the title, in which abscess spelling is wrong. Once again go through the article and correct the mistakes. https://www.dovepress.com/nasalseptal-hematomaabscess-management-and-outcome-in-a-tertiary-hospi-peer-reviewed-fulltext-article-PPA	The conclusion has been improved upon and spelling mistakes corrected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		