



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |                                                                                                                |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice</a>                                      |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJMPCP_39017                                                                                                |
| Title of the Manuscript: | STATUS OF CABON TETRACHLORIDE INDUCED OXIDATIVE STRESS MARKERS IN WISTAR RATS FED WITH ALLIUM SATIVUM (GARLIC) |
| Type of the Article      | Research article                                                                                               |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)                                                                                                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | Manuscript must be rewritten according to the rules. Result section should be rewritten depthly. Figures should be rewritten as more descriptive. The conclusion part can be added to the discussion and this section (Discussion) section should be rewritten and conclude by recent literatures. Recent literatures must be added in reference section. | Thanks for your careful observation. Whole document has been double checked and re-written (where necessary) to suit rules, figures have been double checked also. Merger of discussion and conclusion is not necessary. More recent references have been cited in discussion as highlighted yellow within the reference list |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | There are spelling mistakes in the whole text.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Thanks. Whole document has been rechecked for spelling mistakes                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    | This study's originality is good and acceptable after minor revision.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |