



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_39017
Title of the Manuscript:	STATUS OF CABON TETRACHLORIDE INDUCED OXIDATIVE STRESS MARKERS IN WISTAR RATS FED WITH ALLIUM SATIVUM (GARLIC)
Type of the Article	Original research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The results of study is successful. But I felt miss of the better exposure of this dates, because the authors only put your results in the form of figure, without describe your values. So, I suggest only review this form of describe the results putting comments about each figure. That's go facilitate the AJMPCP readers understanding of results of article in question. Moreover, in the phrase (lines 253 to 254) I suggest review the putting of "but", because it is expected that if antioxidant enzyme activities are increased, MDA and TBARS be reduced. Remembering that MDA and TBARS are the same thing, since TBARS is expressed as equivalents of the MDA. Caution with this placement. Although of the need repair and review of according as above placed, I recommend this manuscript for publication in AJMPCP.	Effected. Comments were previously given though for each chat (figure) Lines 253-254 have been reviewed and now highlighted in yellow. Thank you.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		