



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_45580
Title of the Manuscript:	Extrapulmonary Tuberculosis of the Genitourinary System
Type of the Article	Minireview Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Interesting topic but poor organization and grammar. As a review article, this paper lacks any sense of direction.</p> <p>I would recommend the author structures the paper into various sections:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract (overview of the paper), 2. Introduction (give reader a sense of direction and what to expect) and 3. Discussion [GUTB definition; statistics on GUTB, GUTB risk factors; GUTB progression (how it is acquired); GUTB Diagnosis; GUTB Treatment and Prognosis] 4. Conclusion 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Why was this paper written? What was the author trying to communicate to the scientific community? Increasing cases of GUTB recently? Updates on a forgotten disease? Update on treatment modalities?</p> <p>I believe if the author organize the paper and clearly state the aim of the review, that would increase its possibility of being accepted for publication</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Christoph W. Sossou
Department, University & Country	Newark Beth Israel Medical Centre,USA