### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_33128
Title of the Manuscript:	SEROPREVALENCE OF HIV, HBV and HCV AMONG PRISONERS IN SOKOTO, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)

## SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



# **SDI Review Form 1.6**

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul> <li>A sample size of 100 looks too small to make out any deductive statistical inference.</li> <li>Author should indicate how sample size was calculated, based on a previous prevalence in the Country, to justify the 100 inmates sampled.</li> <li>The Author set out to test 100 inmates but yet tested 99 and no information was given for not testing 1 inmate sample.</li> <li>Three months seems a short period for a prospective study. At least 6months would suffice.</li> <li>No evidence of ethical clearance for the study was provided.</li> <li>No evidence that Author did obtain either verbal or written consent from each of the prisoners sampled. It is not enough to only work with the Medical Unit of the Prison without seeking informed consent of the inmates.</li> </ul>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

# PART 2:

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	issues here in details)	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?		

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	David Bukbuk
Department, University & Country	University of Maiduguri, Nigeria