



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Medicine and Health |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJMAH_45580 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Extrapulmonary Tuberculosis of the Genitourinary System |
| Type of the Article | Minireview Paper |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>Interesting topic but poor organization and grammar. As a review article, this paper lacks any sense of direction.</p> <p>I would recommend the author structures the paper into various sections:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract (overview of the paper), 2. Introduction (give reader a sense of direction and what to expect) and 3. Discussion [GUTB definition; statistics on GUTB, GUTB risk factors; GUTB progression (how it is acquired); GUTB Diagnosis; GUTB Treatment and Prognosis] 4. Conclusion | Edits have been made according to the reviewer's comment. |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | <p>Why was this paper written? What was the author trying to communicate to the scientific community? Increasing cases of GUTB recently? Updates on a forgotten disease? Update on treatment modalities?</p> <p>I believe if the author organize the paper and clearly state the aim of the review, that would increase its possibility of being accepted for publication</p> | Edits have been made according to the reviewer's comment. |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | None |