



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_44902
Title of the Manuscript:	Haemoglobin Genotype, ABO and Rhesus Blood Group Distribution in Briggs Family of Abonnema, Rivers State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>ABSTRACT</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Text under “study design” & “place & duration of study” should be summarized and treated as a single section, preferably “study design”. <p>INTRODUCTION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Line 94-100: in one sentence, the aim should be clearly stated, conveying key themes such as in the title. <p>RESULTS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To restructure the text and tables; current presentation with numerous scanty sub sections and tables renders work boring to readers. Two to three subsections and tables are sufficient. Data contained in individual tables should be categorized and fitted into fewer number of tables (2-3). 	<p>The study design has been summarized to include place and duration of study as instructed.</p> <p>The AIM has been is clearly stated</p> <p>I strongly suggest that the tables should be maintained as structured to avoid too much clustering of information in one table. The tables were arranged specifically to treat specific parameters and outcomes.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The language needs to be revised, with special focus on “sentence structure’ & punctuations. - Authors should check cases of ‘joint words’ throughout paper, with much attention to lines 131-136. 	Noted and corrections made.
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	Those recruited in the study voluntarily gave consent upon approval from the University ethical committee.